

Published for our clients and staff in the Europe-Africa region

**DELOITTE TOUCHE  
TOHMATSU GLOBAL IAS  
LEADERSHIP TEAM**

**IAS GLOBAL OFFICE**

**Global IAS Leader:**

**Ken Wild,**  
kwild@deloitte.co.uk

**IAS CENTRES OF  
EXCELLENCE**

**Americas:**

**D. J. Gannon,**  
iasplusamericas@  
deloitte.com

**Asia-Pacific:**

**Stephen Taylor,**  
iasplus@deloitte.com.hk

**Europe-Africa:**

**JOHANNESBURG –  
Graeme Berry,**  
iasplus@deloitte.co.za

**COPENHAGEN –  
Stig Enevoldsen,**  
dk\_iasplus@deloitte.dk

**LONDON –  
Veronica Poole,**  
iasplus@deloitte.co.uk

**PARIS –  
Laurence Rivat,**  
iasplus@deloitte.fr

**IAS PLUS WEB SITE**

Over 250,000 people visited our [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com) web site in 2002 (compared to 90,000 in 2001). Our goal is to be the most comprehensive source of news about IFRS on the Internet.

© 2003 Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

July 2003

Published Quarterly, Issue No. 12

**IASB NEWS**

**Two EDs were issued in July.** One on Insurance Contracts – Phase I and the other on Disposal of Non-current Assets and Presentation of Discontinued Operations. See timetable on page 2.

**IFRS 1, First-time Adoption, is published.** A detailed summary of the IASB's first IFRS is presented on page 4.

**Agenda project updates.**

- Share-Based Payment: page 5.
- Business Combinations – Phases I and II: page 6.
- Revenue, Liabilities, and Equity: page 8.
- Amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 39: page 8.
- Disclosure of Financial Risks: page 10.
- Performance Reporting: page 11.
- Convergence – Short-term Issues: page 11.
- Insurance Contracts – Phases I and II: page 12.
- Improvements to IFRS: page 14.
- IFRIC update: page 14.

**IASC Foundation names Director of Education.** Page 15.

**News from IFAC.** IAASB statement on compliance with IFRS (page 16). IFAC urges PCAOB to rely on ISAs (page 16).

**Upcoming meeting dates.** Page 17.

**Convergence of IFRS and US GAAP.** Share-based payment (page 18). EPS calculations (page 19). Debt classifications (page 19).

**News about IFRS in Europe.** EU will seek modifications of IAS (page 20). IAS endorsed for use in Europe- other than 32/39 (page 20). ECOFIN stresses the importance of adoption of IFRS for application from 1 January 2005 (page 20) Directive on prospectuses approved (page 21). Council of ministers approves amended accounting directives (page 21). EURONEXT publishes new rules (page 21). EFRAG supports adoption of IFRS 1 in Europe (page 22). IASB presentations in Europe on transition to IFRS (page 22). EU enlarges small-company reporting exemptions (page 22). EU sets out priorities to improve audit quality (page 22). UK FSA chairman calls for global Accounting Standards (page 23)

**IFRS-related news from the United States.** SEC reaffirms FASB (page 24). Non-GAAP financial measures (page 24). Reports on internal control (page 24). PCAOB auditor registration (page 25). PCAOB will set auditing standards (page 25).

**New publications from Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu.** IFRS in your Pocket (page 26). IFRS-US GAAP comparison in Spanish (page 26). Income Taxes (page 26). IFRS of Growing Importance in US (page 27). Three German-language IFRS publications (page 27). IAS Healthcheck 2003 (page 27). Deloitte Accounting Research Tool (page 28).

**Accounting Standards Update in Europe-Africa Region.** Austria (page 29), Belgium (page 29), Czech Republic (page 30), Estonia (page 30), France (page 31), Germany (page 32), Israel (Page 32), Netherlands (page 33), South Africa (page 33), United Kingdom (page 34)

*For information about the content of IAS PLUS (Europe-Africa) please contact Laurence Rivat: [lrivat@deloitte.fr](mailto:lrivat@deloitte.fr)*

## TIMETABLE FOR IASB'S ACTIVE AGENDA PROJECTS

<b>Business Combinations – Phase I</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Drafts were issued December 2002 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standards in 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends
<b>Business Combinations – Phase II</b> — Application of the Purchase Method	<input type="checkbox"/> Two Exposure Drafts in 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter 2003 (one on the purchase method and the other on minority interest) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standards in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 1 January 2006
<b>Consolidation (Including Special Purpose Entities)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Timetable not yet established
<b>Convergence – Short-term Issues, IFRS and US GAAP. Includes:</b> — Joint Project with FASB — Employee Benefits — Replacement of IAS 20	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Drafts in 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> quarters 2003 (including ED4 Disposal of Non-current Assets and Presentation of Discontinued Operations issued in July 2003) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standards in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends except IAS 14 and IAS 19 issues
<b>Disclosure Financial Risk and Other Disclosures about Activities of Financial Institutions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard in 2004 or 2005 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date after 2005 year ends
<b>First-Time Adoption of IFRS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft was issued July 2002 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard was issued 19 June 2003
<b>IAS 32 and IAS 39 Amendments</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft was issued June 2002 <input type="checkbox"/> Re-exposure of 1 or 2 issues 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter 2003 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter 2003 and (for re-exposed items) 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends
<b>Improvements to International Accounting Standards</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft was issued in May 2002 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter 2003 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends
<b>Insurance Contracts – Phase I</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft issued July 2003 (ED5) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends
<b>Insurance contracts – Phase II</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure draft 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard timetable not yet established
<b>Performance Reporting</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft in 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter 2003 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date after 2005 year ends
<b>Revenue Recognition, Liabilities and Equity: Concepts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft in 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard in 2004 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date after 2005 year ends
<b>Share-Based Payment</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exposure Draft was issued in November 2002 <input type="checkbox"/> Final Standard in 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter 2003 <input type="checkbox"/> Expected effective date 2005 year ends

*You can always find an up-to-date timetable at:  
[www.iasplus.com/agenda/timetabl.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/agenda/timetabl.htm).*

## TIMETABLE FOR IASB PROJECTS

During the second quarter of 2003, the IASB published one final standard, IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards. Also, IFRIC published its first Draft Interpretation, D1, Emission Rights.

The IASB did make some changes in its project timetables, delaying several EDs or final standards and announcing timetables for several projects not previously scheduled:

### PROJECTS FOR WHICH A TIMETABLE HAD NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN ANNOUNCED

#### Convergence Project:

- Exposure Drafts:
  - Joint Project with FASB: EDs in 3rd and 4th quarters 2003 (including one ED issued in July 2003 on asset disposals and discontinued operations)
  - Employee Benefits: ED 4th quarter 2003
  - Replacement of IAS 20: ED 4th quarter 2003
- Final Standards:
  - Joint Project with FASB: Final Standards 2004
  - Employee Benefits: Final Standards 2004 after 1st quarter
  - Replacement of IAS 20: Final Standards 2004 after 1st quarter

**Insurance Contracts – Phase II:** ED in 2004

### CHANGES OF TIMETABLE

**Insurance Contracts – Phase I:** ED now 3rd quarter 2003

**Improvements to IFRS:** Final IFRS now 3rd quarter 2003

**Financial Activities:** ED now 2004 later than 1st quarter

**Business Combinations – Phase I:** Final IFRS now 1st quarter 2004

**Business Combinations – Phase II:** EDs now 3rd quarter 2003 and Final IFRS now 2004 later than 1st quarter. The Board will issue separate EDs on the application of the purchase method and on minority interest. A timetable has not been set for other components of the Phase II project, including combinations of entities under common control and fresh start accounting.

**Amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 39:** The Board decided that the final amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 39 should be issued in two stages. The first versions of IAS 32 and 39 will be those that include all decisions that are not being re-exposed. The second and final versions will include the decisions from issues re-exposed. The Board is taking this approach to try to ensure that users in countries adopting IFRS in 2005 have as much of the final standard as possible in hand when preparing for 2005. Currently, there is one issue the Board has determined requires re-exposure (macro hedging). However, the Board noted one other issue to be discussed in July that may require re-exposure, which is a conflict between IAS 32/39 and the Exposure Draft on share-based payments.

### TIMETABLE

Presented on the facing page is a summary of the timetable for the IASB's active agenda projects.

## IFRS 1, FIRST-TIME ADOPTION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS

### Who is a first-time adopter?

A first-time adopter is an entity that, for the first time, makes an explicit and unreserved statement that its general purpose financial statements comply with IFRS.

### If IFRS are adopted for the first time at 31 December 2005, what must an entity do?

*Accounting policies.* The entity should select its policies based on IFRS in force at 31 December 2005.

*IFRS reporting periods.* The entity should prepare at least 2005 and 2004 financial statements and restate retrospectively the opening balance sheet (beginning of the first period for which full comparative financial statements are presented) by applying the IFRS in force at 31 December 2005.

### What adjustments are required to move from previous GAAP to IFRS?

1. *Derecognition of some old assets and liabilities.* The entity should eliminate previous-GAAP assets and liabilities from the opening balance sheet if they do not qualify for recognition under IFRS. Examples include intangibles not allowed as assets under IAS 38 and provisions not allowed under IAS 37.
2. *Recognition of some new assets and liabilities.* Conversely, the entity should recognise all assets and liabilities required by IFRS even if they were never recognised under previous GAAP. For example, recognise all derivatives, including embedded derivatives, under IAS 39; employee benefit obligations under IAS 19; and deferred tax assets and liabilities under IAS 12.
3. *Reclassification.* Reclassify previous-GAAP opening balance sheet items into the appropriate IFRS classification. For example, dividends declared after the balance sheet are reported in equity, not as a liability; treasury stock is an equity reduction, not an asset; and certain assets recognised under past business combinations may have to be reclassified into or out of goodwill. Also, reportable segments (IAS 14) may change and the scope of consolidation could also change.
4. *Measurement.* The general measurement principle – there are several significant exceptions noted below – is to apply IFRS in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities. Therefore, if an entity adopts IFRS for the first time in its annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2005, in general it would use the measurement principles in IFRS in force at 31 December 2005.
5. *Adjustments required to move from previous GAAP to IFRS at the time of first-time adoption.* Recognise these directly in retained earnings or other appropriate category of equity.

### What are the exceptions to the basic measurement principle in IFRS 1?

1. *Optional exceptions.* IFRS 1 provides exceptions to the general restatement requirements in a number of areas, which can be chosen individually or as a package, including the following:
  - Business combinations that occurred before opening balance sheet date
  - Property, plant, and equipment, intangible assets, and investment property carried under the cost model: exceptions relate to previous revaluations and absence of cost records.
  - IAS 19 – Employee benefits: recognising cumulative actuarial gains and losses
  - IAS 21 – Writing off pre-IFRS 1 accumulated translation reserves
2. *Mandatory exceptions.* There are three mandatory exceptions to the general restatement and measurement principles:
  - IAS 39 – Financial instruments that were derecognised prior to 2001 cannot now be re-recognised even if they meet the IAS 39 recognition criteria.
  - IAS 39 – Hedge accounting practices that were used before the opening IFRS balance sheet may not be retrospectively changed.
  - In preparing IFRS estimates retrospectively, use only information that was available at the time of original accounting under previous GAAP, except to correct an error.

### Financial information for periods before the first IFRS balance sheet

Earlier financial information may be presented based on the entity's previous GAAP rather than IFRS, appropriately labelled and explained.

### Disclosures a first-time adopter must include:

1. Reconciliations of income and equity reported under previous GAAP to amounts under IFRS.
2. Explanation of material adjustments to the balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement (including error corrections and impairment losses) that were made in adopting IFRS for the first time.
3. Appropriate explanations if the entity has applied any of the specific recognition and measurement exemptions permitted under IFRS 1 – for instance, if it used fair values as deemed cost.

*Virtually all 7,000 listed companies in Europe will be required to adopt IFRS in 2005. Standards mandatory for 2005 will, therefore, be part of the first-time IFRS adoption process.*

*The G8 countries are: Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, United Kingdom, and United States*

*An observer from Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu attends every IASB meeting, and we publish the Board's tentative decisions on our web site, [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com), usually the next day.*

*You can download ED 2 from the IASB's website: [www.iasb.org.uk](http://www.iasb.org.uk).*

## **IFRS 1 ON FIRST-TIME ADOPTION IS ISSUED**

On 19 June 2003, the IASB issued IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards. IFRS 1 sets out the procedures that an entity must follow when it adopts IFRS for the first time as the basis for preparing its general purpose financial statements. IFRS 1 applies if an entity's first IFRS financial statements are for a period beginning on or after 1 January 2004. Earlier application is encouraged.

The table on the facing page summarises the key features of IFRS 1.

## **G8 FINANCE MINISTERS SUPPORT INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS**

Finance ministers from the Group of Eight large developed nations met in Deauville, France, on 17 May 2003 to discuss the challenges to their own economies and, more broadly, global economic growth. The meeting resulted in a statement backing, among other things, the development of international accounting standards as a means to bolster investor confidence:

*We favour the emergence, through open and public processes involving the private sector, of high-quality internationally recognized accounting standards that are applied, interpreted and enforced, with due regard to financial stability concerns.*

## **IASB AGENDA PROJECT UPDATES**

On the next several pages, we note some of the key decisions made by the Board in the first quarter of 2003 on its agenda projects. More detailed project information can be found on our web site and on the IASB's web site.

### **PROJECT UPDATE: SHARE-BASED PAYMENT**

**Status.** Exposure Draft issued in November 2002. Comments were due 7 March 2003. Main proposals in ED 2:

- All share-based payment transactions recognised at fair value.
- Expense recognised when the goods or services received are sold or consumed.
- Same standards for all entities, listed and non-listed.
- Measure fair value at grant date:
  - For employee options based on fair value of the option, using an option pricing model that takes into account vesting conditions;
  - For shares or options given to non-employees, normally based on fair value of goods or services received.

**IASB consideration of comments on ED 2.** The IASB has decided to replace the "units of service" measurement approach in ED 2 with the measurement approach in FASB Statement 123. Under SFAS 123, grant date measurement includes an estimate of performance and vesting conditions with subsequent adjustment for changes in estimates.

**FASB action.** FASB invited comments on ED 2, as did many of the world's major national standard setters. As a result of the comments received, FASB has added accounting for stock options to its agenda.

**More information.** See the discussion on convergence on share-based payment on page 18 of this newsletter.

**What's next?** Final standard in fourth quarter of 2003, effective for 2005.

You can download the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu comment letter on ED 3 and the related EDs on impairment and intangible assets from this link:  
[www.iasplus.com/links/comment.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/links/comment.htm)

FASB is taking the lead on the “application of the purchase method” project. You will find their project summary at:  
[www.fasb.org/project/index.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/index.shtml)

## PROJECT UPDATE: BUSINESS COMBINATIONS – PHASE I

**Status.** Exposure Drafts were issued in December 2002, one proposing a new IFRS to replace IAS 22, Business Combinations, and the other proposing amendments to IAS 36, Impairment of Assets, and IAS 38, Intangible Assets. The comment deadline ended 4 April 2003. Key proposals:

- ❑ Purchase method would be used for all business combinations; uniting (pooling) of interests prohibited.
- ❑ Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives would not be amortised, but they would be tested for impairment at least annually.
- ❑ Amortisation continues for finite-lived intangible assets; no presumption of a maximum life.
- ❑ Negative goodwill will be an immediate gain.
- ❑ Minority’s share of acquired assets measured at fair value.
- ❑ Minority interest reported within equity in the balance sheet.

**What’s next?** Final standards in first quarter of 2004, effective for 2005.

## PROJECT UPDATE: BUSINESS COMBINATIONS – PHASE II

**Status.** Phase II of IASB’s Business Combinations project has three components:

- ❑ Issues related to the application of the purchase method.
- ❑ Accounting for business combinations in which separate entities or operations of entities are brought together to form a joint venture, including consideration of “fresh start accounting”.
- ❑ Issues that were excluded from phase I:
  - Business combinations involving entities (or operations of entities) under common control,
  - Business combinations involving two or more mutual entities (such as mutual insurance companies or mutual cooperative entities), and
  - Business combinations in which separate entities are brought together to form a reporting entity by contract only without the obtaining of an ownership interest.

**Key decisions re application of the purchase method.** New decisions in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter 2003 are shown in italics:

- ❑ If less than a 100% interest is acquired, the acquirer should recognise all of the goodwill of the acquiree, not just the acquirer’s share.
- ❑ Minority interests in the net assets of a subsidiary should be presented in the consolidated balance sheet within equity separate from the parent shareholders’ equity.
- ❑ *IAS 1.86 will require a reconciliation for minority interest in the statement of changes in equity, most likely as an addition of one column in the statement.*
- ❑ In the income statement, both net profit or loss attributable to minority interests and net profit or loss attributable to the controlling interest should be presented on the face of the consolidated income statement, in addition to presenting consolidated net profit or loss.
- ❑ *Losses should be allocated between controlling and minority interests based on ownership interests, without regard to any guarantees or similar arrangements.*
- ❑ If a business combination is achieved by a series of share purchases (a step acquisition), at the time control is obtained the carrying amount of the acquirer’s previous investment should be increased to its fair value on that date, with gain or loss recognised.
- ❑ Subsequent increases or decreases in ownership interests in a subsidiary without loss of control should be accounted for as equity transactions (no gain or loss recognised).

## PROJECT UPDATE: BUSINESS COMBINATIONS – PHASE II, continued

- ❑ If a parent loses control of a subsidiary, either by selling its investment or by the subsidiary selling shares to third parties, a gain or loss should be recognised.
- ❑ Costs directly attributable to a business combination are not part of the fair value of the exchange transaction and, therefore, should be excluded from the cost of the business combination.
- ❑ Equity instruments issued in a business combination should be measured at acquisition date (date control passes), not at agreement date.
- ❑ *Prior to June 2003, the tentative fair value measurement hierarchy was as follows:*
  - *Level 1: Observable market price for an identical item at or near the measurement date.*
  - *Level 2: Observable market prices for similar items, appropriately adjusted.*
  - *Level 3: Other valuation techniques that incorporate assumptions that marketplace participants would use or, if that information is not available, the entity's assumptions.**At its June 2003 meeting, the Board removed the second level of the hierarchy, so that when an active market does not exist, a valuation technique should be used. One input to the valuation technique may be recent market transactions for similar items.*
- ❑ Fair value of liabilities assumed should reflect the credit risk of the combined entity only to the extent that marketplace participants believe the fair value has been altered by the business combination.
- ❑ Fair value of post-employment benefit obligations assumed should be based on the actuarial assumptions of the acquirer.

**Convergence with US GAAP:** While purchase method procedures is a joint project with FASB, there remains a potential difference with US GAAP regarding whether assets and liabilities that arise as a result of acquisition (such as new pension obligations and golden parachute obligations) should be recognised. The IASB has re-affirmed that all assets and liabilities should be recognised including those that arise at the date of acquisition. US GAAP would not recognise these.

**What's next?** The Board will issue two exposure drafts in this project during the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2003 – one related to business combinations and one related to minority interests (amendment of IAS 27). Both EDs will be issued together and will have a 90-day comment period. The proposed effective date will be 1 January 2006 for both standards. Earlier application will be optional. The requirements would have to be applied retrospectively, unless impracticable. However, all business combinations that occur after the earliest business combination that has been retrospectively restated must also be restated.

A timetable has not been set for other components of the Phase II project, including combinations of entities under common control and fresh start accounting.

*This is a joint project with the FASB. You will find their project summary at: [www.fasb.org/project/index.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/index.shtml)*

## **PROJECT UPDATE: REVENUE, LIABILITIES, AND EQUITY**

**Status.** This project addresses three interrelated issues:

- Distinction between liabilities and equity.
- Definition of and recognition criteria for liabilities.
- General principles for recognising revenue.

The IASB is focusing first on the revenue recognition component in a joint project with the FASB. The primary objective is to develop a comprehensive set of principles for revenue recognition that will eliminate the inconsistencies in the existing authoritative literature and accepted practices.

At its June 2003 meeting, the Board discussed the types of contractual rights and obligations that could give rise to revenue. The Board concluded that conditional rights (performance has not occurred) should not give rise to revenue. The Board also decided that pre-performance assets and liabilities should be carried at fair value at initial recognition and subsequent remeasurement. Post-performance assets and liabilities would be subject to another standard. The Board will continue consideration of this model in co-operation with the FASB.

**What's next?** The project is likely to lead to revisions of both the IASB Framework and IAS 18, Revenue, with an exposure draft in 2003 and final IFRS in 2004, but not effective until after 2005.

*The amendments proposed to IAS 39 are significant and generally will result in greater recognition of fair values and fair value changes for financial instruments.*

*The IASB has tentatively agreed to make a number of changes to the proposals in its exposure draft as a result of comments received. Those changes relate to (among other issues):*

- Derecognition*
- Reversal of impairment losses*
- Hedging with internal contracts*
- Macro hedging*
- Basis adjustment*

## **PROJECT UPDATE: AMENDMENTS TO IAS 32 AND IAS 39, FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

**Status.** Exposure draft issued in July 2002 proposing some major amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 39 on financial instruments.

### **Key proposed amendments to IAS 39**

- Allow an entity to designate any financial instrument (including its own outstanding debt) irrevocably at initial recognition as an instrument to be measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognised in profit or loss.
- Allow an entity to designate any originated loans and receivables as available for sale, resulting in measuring them at fair value in the balance sheet.
- Require that all fair value changes for available-for-sale financial instruments be recognised as a separate component of equity, with 'recycling' through net profit or loss when the financial asset is sold.
- Add guidance for recognising impairment losses in groups of loans.
- Prohibit reversal of impairment losses previously recognised for available-for-sale financial assets.\*
- Treat hedges of firm commitments as fair value hedges, not as cash flow hedges.
- Prohibit 'basis adjustment' for hedges of forecasted transactions, though continue to require basis adjustment for fair value hedges.\*
- Establish the principle of 'no continuing involvement' for deciding whether a financial asset should be derecognised. Derecognition would not be permitted to the extent that the entity could, or could be required to, reacquire control of the transferred asset, or could receive or be required to pay compensation based on the performance of the asset.\*

\* The Board has tentatively decided to modify these proposals – see the facing page.



**Fair value measurement option.** The Board agreed to retain the fair value measurement option for all financial instruments as proposed in the Exposure Draft; to clarify that the election of fair value is irrevocable; to clarify that demand deposits may be recognised at fair value, which is the amount payable on demand today; not to permit exclusion of the effects of an entity's own credit risk in measuring fair value; and not to require separate disclosure of the fair value effect of an entity's own credit risk.

**Fair value measurement guidance.** The fair value hierarchy will be as follows:

- ❑ If an active market exists, use quoted market price in that market. Bid-asked prices should be used in determining fair value (and adjusted for counterparty credit risk). Mid-market prices should not be used since they may result in immediate gains. When more than one active market exists in which an asset or liability can be disposed of immediately without cost or risk (that is without bundling or any modification), the most advantageous market price should be used. The most advantageous market price is the one that results in the highest price. Blockage factors should not be considered, as it is uncertain whether they exist and, even if they exist, whether their value could be determined reliably. However, the quoted market price may be adjusted for changes in factors that affect the price of the instrument at the balance sheet date.
- ❑ If an active market does not exist, a valuation technique should be used. One input to the valuation technique may be recent market transactions for similar items.

**Reversal of an impairment loss on available-for-sale financial assets.** The ED had proposed to prohibit all reversals of impairment losses on AFS financial assets. Based on comments on the ED, the Board has decided to revert to the existing IAS 39 requirement that an impairment loss on an AFS *debt* instruments should be reversed if the impairment event reverses.

**Derecognition of financial assets.** The Board decided not to pursue the continuing involvement model proposed in the Exposure Draft but, rather, to retain an approach largely consistent with the current IAS 39, with some modification and clarification. The revised derecognition principles would be as follows:

1. If substantially all of the benefits and risks are transferred, then derecognise the assets. A sale with a repurchase option at fair value would not disqualify derecognition.
2. If substantially all of the benefits and risks have been retained (based on assessment of the variation in the present value of net cash flows), no derecognition is allowed.
3. If the answers are no to both questions 2 and 3, then assess whether the transferor has retained control over the assets transferred. The entity would continue to recognise the transferred assets to the extent it could be forced to reacquire them.

**Pass-through arrangements.** If the entity has assumed responsibility to pass through all or a proportion of the cash flows from the asset (with no obligation to pay unless collected and no right to sell or pledge the asset), then derecognise all or the proportion sold.

**Hedge accounting.** Hedges of firm commitments are fair value hedges, not cash flow hedges, even for a foreign currency firm commitment. Hedges of forecasted transactions are cash flow hedges.

**Hedging with internal contracts.**

- ❑ *Interest rate risk.* Internal transactions (transactions within the same reporting entity or group) can be designated as hedging instruments or hedged items under IAS 39. However, these contracts would be eliminated in the normal consolidation procedures.
- ❑ *Foreign currency risk.* The Board agreed not to change the IAS 39 hedge accounting for foreign currency risk. This will continue a difference with US GAAP.
- ❑ *Intracompany items.* Receivables/payables between group entities can be classified as hedged items.
- ❑ *Segment reporting.* Segment results should report the gains or losses from the internal contracts, even if those contracts are eliminated in consolidation.

**Macro hedging.** The Board agreed to permit an entity to use fair value hedge accounting for a portfolio hedge of interest rate risk under certain defined conditions. These are still being fine-tuned. The Board decided that this issue must be re-exposed.

**Basis adjustments for non-financial assets and liabilities.** Reflecting hedging gains/losses in the carrying amount of hedged acquisitions of non-financial assets and liabilities ("basis adjustment") will be permitted.

**Sensitivity disclosures.** Sensitivity disclosures should be provided for fair values estimated using a valuation technique for each valuation assumption not supported by observable market prices.

## PROJECT UPDATE: AMENDMENTS TO IAS 32 AND IAS 39, FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

**Recent decisions re IAS 39.** See the table on the previous page.

### Recent decisions re IAS 32:

- ❑ *Puttable instruments.* Classified as liabilities, whether the put is conditional or not.
- ❑ *Treasury shares.* A commitment to repurchase an entity's own shares is a liability other than in agency transactions for clients.
- ❑ *Separating the liability and equity components of compound instruments.* The method of separation will not be prescribed.
- ❑ *Risk disclosures.* The proposed disclosures in ED paragraphs 77B(a), (b), (c), and (e) will be retained. The Board will consider further the sensitivity disclosure proposed in ED paragraph 77B(d).
- ❑ *Economic compulsion.* The notion will be eliminated from existing IAS 32.22 that an instrument is automatically a liability if the issuer is economically compelled to redeem it because of a contractually accelerating dividend. However, an example will be added to the final standard to clarify that a liability must be recognised based on the probability of dividend distributions.
- ❑ *Contingent settlement provisions.* The ED proposed to require liability classification, without exception, for any financial instrument that could require the issuer to pay cash or other financial assets, without regard to probability. The Board is reviewing that conclusion with respect to contingencies that do not have a realistic possibility of occurring.
- ❑ *Parent guarantees of distributions.* Additional terms (such as a guarantee of payments or redemption) agreed directly by a parent entity with the holders of its subsidiary's equity instruments should result in a liability classification of those instruments in the consolidated financial statements to the extent of the amount of the guarantee.
- ❑ *Derivatives on interests in subsidiaries, associates, and joint ventures.* Clarify that these are within the scope of IAS 32 and IAS 39.
- ❑ *Offsetting.* Management intention should be a factor in offsetting financial assets and liabilities.

**What's next?** The final amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 39 will be issued in two stages. The first versions of IAS 32 and 39 will include all decisions that are not being re-exposed. The second and final versions will include the decisions from issues re-exposed. The Board is taking this approach to try to ensure users in countries adopting IFRS in 2005 have as much of the final standard as possible in hand when preparing for 2005.

*The Board has begun using a new name for this project: Financial Risk and Other Amendments to Financial Instruments Disclosures*

*IAS 30 applies to banks and other financial institutions. Initially, the goal of this project was to revise IAS 30, and its scope was disclosures about financial activities rather than financial institutions. More recently, however, the Board has concluded that the proposed disclosures are relevant to all financial instruments. Hence the scope of the project has been amended to cover all entities that have financial instruments.*

## PROJECT UPDATE: DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL RISKS

**Status.** The Board has agreed that entities should disclose qualitative and quantitative information about financial risks. See the comment in the sidebar (left) about the expanded scope of this project.

**Recent decisions.** In May 2003, the Board discussed capital risk disclosures and agreed that the standard should not require disclosure of capital requirements imposed by external parties (regulators). However, entity-specific targets and industry standard targets should be disclosed. An entity should also disclose whether any breach has occurred during the reporting period and the quantitative steps taken to correct that breach. The entity should also disclose the existence of a forbearance, if one occurs.

**What's next?** The Board sees a need for a final standard by 2005 to simplify and improve the capital risk disclosures from those in IAS 30 and 32. The Board hopes to issue an ED in 2004, so that entities would be able to voluntarily adopt the final standard for 2005, though the effective date is likely to be delayed until after 2005. If the final standard is not completed by 2005, IAS 30 and 32 will still apply to capital risk disclosures.

## PROJECT UPDATE: PERFORMANCE REPORTING

**Status.** The following represents the Board’s tentative thinking about the format of the income statement (as prepared by the IASB staff):

	Total	Profit Before Remeasurements	Remeasurements
Revenue	1,000	Revenue	Inventory impairments
Cost of sales	(400)	Materials, labour	PPE impairments
Other operating expenses	(250)	Selling, general, administrative	Provision remeasurement
<b>Operating Profit</b>	<b>350</b>		Pension actuarial loss
PPE revaluations	100		PPE revaluations
PPE disposals	150		Disposal gains and losses
Investment property	--		Investment property fair value change
Goodwill	(100)	Negative goodwill	Goodwill impairment
FX gain/loss on net investment	(50)		FX gain or loss on net investment
<b>Other Business Profit</b>	<b>100</b>		
Income from associates	50	Income from associates	
Write-down of receivables	(10)		Write-down of receivables
Equity Investments	(60)		Equity investment returns
Debt investments	20	Interest income	FV change on debt investments
Pension assets	(150)		Return on pension assets
<b>Financial Income</b>	<b>(150)</b>		
<b>Business Profit</b>	<b>300</b>		
Interest on liabilities	(80)	Interest expense	Change in provision discount rate
Pension financing	(120)	Unwinding of discount	Change in pension discount rate
<b>Financing Expense</b>	<b>(200)</b>		
<b>Income Taxes</b>	<b>(30)</b>		
<b>Discontinuing Operations</b>	<b>(10)</b>	Net discontinuing	Net discontinuing
<b>Cash Flow Hedges</b>	<b>50</b>		FV change in CF hedging instrument
<b>Profit</b>	<b>110</b>		

**Recent decisions.** This format would apply to all companies in all industries. There would be no ‘recycling’ of items across columns or rows from one period to another. The IASB is lately referring to this project as “Reporting Comprehensive Income”.

**What’s next?** IASB staff are currently field-testing the proposal worldwide. An exposure draft is planned for fourth quarter 2003. The Board has indicated, however, that it does not expect to make a final standard mandatory in time for 2005 financial reporting.

## CONVERGENCE – SHORT-TERM ISSUES: IFRS AND US GAAP

**Status.** The objective of this project is to eliminate a variety of differences between International Financial Reporting Standards and US GAAP. The project, which is being done jointly by FASB and IASB, grew out of an agreement reached by the two boards in September 2002.

Two aspects of this project have gone beyond convergence of IFRS and US GAAP. They are:

- ❑ Improvements to IAS 19, Employee Benefits, including potential elimination of the “corridor approach” now part of both IFRS and US GAAP.
- ❑ Replacement of IAS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance.

**More information.** See the discussion of various convergence activities on pages 18 and 19 of this newsletter.

**What’s next?** Exposure drafts are expected the third and fourth quarters of 2003 (including one later this month on asset disposals and discontinuing operations), with final standards in 2004, effective for 2005 except perhaps for IAS 14 and IAS 19 issues.

*In May 2002, the IASB decided to split the insurance contracts project into two phases, so that European (and other) insurance companies that will be adopting IFRS for the first time as of 2005 will have some guidance on how to apply existing IAS and IFRS to insurance contracts. Phase II is a comprehensive project on accounting for insurance contracts taking a fresh look at all issues. An exposure draft on Phase I is imminent.*

## **PROJECT UPDATE: INSURANCE CONTRACTS – PHASE I**

**Status.** When the IASB took over from the IASC in April 2001, it inherited a comprehensive project on accounting for insurance contracts that IASC started in April 1997. The IASC had published an issues paper in November 1999.

The IASB continued the work that the IASC had begun but realised that it was not feasible to complete the comprehensive project in time for the adoption of IFRS by European listed companies in 2005. Nonetheless the IASB recognised that some guidance is needed before 2005 because accounting for insurance contracts under IFRS at the moment is diverse and quite unique relative to other industries. Also, the existing IFRS that are most relevant to accounting for insurance contracts (IAS 32, 37, 38, and 39) exclude insurance contracts from their scopes.

So in May 2002 the IASB split its insurance contracts project into two phases. Phase I will provide guidance in time for the 2005 changeover to IFRS in Europe. Phase II will be the comprehensive project.

**Tentative decisions.** The table on the facing page summarises the key decisions made by the IASB to date in the Phase I project.

**What's next?** The IASB is expected to issue an exposure draft of its proposed Phase I standards before the end of July 2003. A three-month comment period is anticipated.

The final standard is expected to be effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005, except the fair value disclosure requirement for assets and liabilities arising from insurance contracts would be deferred until 31 December 2006 (and comparative 31 December 2005 disclosures of the fair values of assets and liabilities arising from insurance contracts would not be required).

## **PROJECT UPDATE: INSURANCE CONTRACTS – PHASE II**

**Status.** This longer-term project will develop a comprehensive standard on accounting for insurance contracts. Recently, the IASB's effort has been devoted to completing Phase I, so this phase has been on the back burner. However, the Board has discussed some of the issues and has indicated some tentative leanings.

**The IASB's leanings in the Phase II project.** The Board favours an asset and liability model that requires an entity to identify and measure directly individual assets and liabilities arising from insurance contracts, rather than creating deferrals of inflows and outflows. Under that model, assets and liabilities arising from insurance contracts would be measured at fair value (which involves discounting), except that:

- ❑ entity-specific assumptions and information may be used to determine fair value if market-based information is not available; and
- ❑ the estimated fair value of an insurance liability shall not be less, but may be more, than the entity would charge to accept new contracts with identical terms and remaining term from new policyholders.

**What's next?** The Board expects to issue an exposure draft in 2004. Timetable for the final IFRS is not yet announced.

**Definition of insurance contract**

An insurance contract is a contract under which an insurer accepts significant insurance risk by agreeing to compensate the policyholder or other beneficiary for the adverse effect of a specified uncertain future event.

**Scope of the project**

All insurance contracts, including reinsurance contracts, but not other activities of insurance entities.

**Recognition and measurement of insurance liabilities**

*Catastrophe and equalisation provisions.* These would be prohibited because they do not reflect loss events that have already occurred and, therefore, are inconsistent with IAS 37.

*Loss recognition testing.* An insurer would be required to carry out a loss recognition test relating to losses already incurred at each balance sheet date. If the test shows that the measurement of its insurance liabilities (net of related deferred acquisition costs and intangible assets) is insufficient, adjustment of the liabilities is recognised in net profit or loss. The entity would be required to use current estimates of future cash flows in the loss recognition test, but the standard is not expected to specify which cash flows should be included and whether and how to discount them.

**Applying IAS 39**

- ❑ *Embedded derivatives.* IAS 39 applies to derivatives embedded in an insurance contract unless the embedded derivative is itself an insurance contract. However, an insurer would not be required to separate, and measure at fair value, a policyholder's option to surrender an insurance contract for a fixed amount. That exception would not apply if the surrender value varies based on the change in an equity or commodity price or index.
- ❑ *Unbundling deposit components of insurance contracts.* If an insurance contract contains both an insurance component and a deposit (investment) component, the deposit component must be treated as a financial liability or financial asset under IAS 39. As a result, the insurer would not recognise premium receipts for the deposit component as revenue. The measurement at fair value of a demand feature (such as a demand deposit) should be no less than the amount payable on demand and that cash surrender and maturity values of many traditional insurance contracts would not generally be classified as a deposit component.
- ❑ *Derecognition.* The derecognition provisions of IAS 39 should be applied to insurance liabilities. Therefore such liabilities cannot be removed from the entity's balance sheet until discharge, cancellation, or expiry.

**Applying the requirements on offsetting in IAS 1 and IAS 32**

- ❑ Assets under reinsurance contracts cannot be offset against related insurance liabilities.
- ❑ Income and expense from reinsurance contracts cannot be netted against related expense or income from the underlying insurance contracts.

**Accounting policies: issues relating to IAS 8 (as proposed to be revised in the Improvements Project)**

One purpose of the IFRS that will result from this project is to lay some groundwork that will help insurers in their future transition to a Phase II standard and, at the same time, discourage accounting changes that may need to be reversed when Phase II is completed. Two IASB decisions reflect those objectives:

- ❑ Suspend until 2007 the hierarchy of authoritative guidance on IFRS that will be added to IAS 8. The reason for the suspension is that, given the weaknesses in existing accounting practices for insurance contracts and the inconsistency of those practices with accounting in other sectors, the Board feared that might impose unintended and potentially undesirable changes in insurance accounting before Phase II is finished.
- ❑ Prohibit changes in accounting policies for insurance contracts unless the change clearly makes the financial statements more understandable, relevant, reliable, and comparable as judged by the criteria in IAS 8.

**Other decisions of the IASB**

Phase I will not require discounting or specify a discount rate.

- ❑ Phase I will not prohibit or require deferral of policy acquisition costs.
- ❑ Phase I will not require all insurance subsidiaries of a single parent to use same accounting policies.

An insurer cannot change the measurement basis for its insurance liabilities simply by the purchase of reinsurance.

**Disclosure**

Many new disclosures would be required, including fair values of insurance assets and insurance liabilities (starting from 1 January 2006); amounts and other details of assets, liabilities, income, expense, and cash flows relating to insurance contracts; and information about insurance risk, interest risk, and credit risk.

*If you want to download the April 2003 edition of this newsletter, in which we summarise many changes to the Improvements proposals that the IASB has tentatively agreed to, please go to [www.iasplus.com/iasplus.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/iasplus.htm)*

*IFRIC news on our web site:*

[Summaries of Interpretations:](http://www.iasplus.com/interps/interps.htm)  
[www.iasplus.com/interps/interps.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/interps/interps.htm)

[IFRIC projects by topic:](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/ifricissues.htm)  
[www.iasplus.com/ifric/ifricissues.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/ifricissues.htm)

[Topics not added to IFRIC's agenda:](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/notadded.htm)  
[www.iasplus.com/ifric/notadded.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/notadded.htm)

[Emission Rights project:](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/emission.htm)  
[www.iasplus.com/ifric/emission.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/ifric/emission.htm)

## PROJECT UPDATE: IMPROVEMENTS TO IFRS

**Status:** In May 2002, the IASB published an exposure draft of proposed amendments to 15 standards and consequential amendments to a number of other standards. The Board received over 150 letters of comment on its exposure draft. Its consideration of those comments is nearly finished. We reported many decisions in the April issue of this newsletter.

**Recent decisions.** During the second quarter of 2003, the Board discussed only one issue: how an entity should handle an asset's depreciation at the point at which the asset's carrying amount is found to be below the amount of the asset's reassessed residual value. The Board decided that, when residual value exceeds net carrying amount for an asset (cost less depreciation) the entity should cease to depreciate the asset, on the basis that an asset should only be depreciated when there is a depreciable amount.

**What's next?** Final standards in third quarter of 2003, effective for 2005.

## IFRIC UPDATE

### IFRIC ISSUES DRAFT INTERPRETATION ON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEMES

The International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) has published for comment a draft Interpretation on accounting for transferable emissions (pollution) allowances. Draft Interpretation D1, Emission Rights, is IFRIC's first draft Interpretation. Comment deadline: 14 July 2003.

D1 would require companies to account for the emission allowances they receive from governments as intangible assets, recorded initially at fair value. Emissions of pollutant would then give rise to a liability for the obligation to deliver allowances to cover those emissions. Any excess of the fair value of the allowance over the amount paid to the government is to be considered a government grant and initially recognised as deferred income in the balance sheet and subsequently recognised as income on a systematic basis over the compliance period (as provided in IAS 20). The draft Interpretation can be downloaded without charge from IASB's website: [www.iasb.org.uk](http://www.iasb.org.uk).

In the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu comment letter on the IFRIC proposal (available on our [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com) website), we agreed with the general conclusions in the draft Interpretation. However, as regards the accounting for government grants arising from emission trading schemes, we suggested that the Interpretation should simply require that the government grants be accounted for under IAS 20. D1 had proposed to eliminate certain options available under IAS 20 for this particular subset of government grants.

### IFRIC'S JULY 2003 MEETING

The IFRIC met on 1 and 2 July and discussed the following topics:

- ❑ IAS 11 – Criteria for combining and segmenting construction contracts. This is a new IFRIC agenda topic.
- ❑ IAS 17 – Rights of use of assets. IFRIC agreed on the principles to be included in an Interpretation.
- ❑ IAS 19 – Multi-employer plan exemption. IFRIC is leaning toward treating such plans as defined benefit plans.
- ❑ IAS 19 – Money purchase plan with minimum guarantee. IFRIC is leaning toward treating such plans as defined benefit plans.
- ❑ IAS 19 – Allocation of benefits to periods of service. The IFRIC will suggest that IASB address this issue in IAS 19 improvements.
- ❑ IAS 37 – Decommissioning and environmental rehabilitation funds. An Interpretation would cover accounting by the contributor.
- ❑ IAS 37 – Changes in decommissioning, restoration, and similar liabilities. IFRIC agreed to issue a draft Interpretation on this topic.

*IFRIC's responsibilities are to:*

- ❑ *interpret the application of International Financial Reporting Standards and provide timely guidance on financial reporting issues not specifically addressed in IFRS, in the context of the IASB's framework, and undertake other tasks at the request of the Board;*
- ❑ *publish Draft Interpretations for public comment and consider comments made within a reasonable period before finalising an Interpretation; and*
- ❑ *report to the Board and obtain Board approval for final Interpretations.*

*A printed version of the IVSC standards and a subscription update service can be purchased from IVSC.*

## **TERMS OF FOUR IFRIC MEMBERS ARE EXTENDED TO 2006**

The Trustees of the IASC Foundation have renewed the terms of four members of IFRIC, including Ken Wild, a partner in Deloitte & Touche (United Kingdom) and our firm's IFRS Global Leader. The 12 IFRIC members serve staggered three-year terms. The IFRIC members are:

- ❑ **Junichi Akiyama**  
Professor, Tama University, Japan, term expires 30 June 2006
- ❑ **Phil Ameen**  
Vice President and Comptroller, General Electric Company, United States, term expires 30 June 2005
- ❑ **Jeannot Blanchet**  
Executive Director, Equity Research (Europe), Morgan Stanley, France, term expires 30 June 2004
- ❑ **Claudio de Conto**  
General Manager Administration and Control, Pirelli S.p.A., Italy, term expires 30 June 2005
- ❑ **Clement K. M. Kwok**  
Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer, The Hongkong and Shanghai Hotels Limited, Hong Kong, China, term expires 30 June 2005
- ❑ **Wayne Lonergan**  
Managing Director, Lonergan Edwards & Associates, Australia, term expires 30 June 2005
- ❑ **Domingo Mario Marchese**  
Partner, Marchese, Grandi, Meson & Asoc., Argentina, term expires 30 June 2005
- ❑ **Mary Tokar**  
Partner, IAS Advisory Services, KPMG International, United States, term expires 30 June 2004
- ❑ **Leo van der Tas**  
Partner, Ernst & Young, The Netherlands, term expires 30 June 2006
- ❑ **Patricia Walters**  
Senior Vice President, Association for Investment Management and Research, United States, term expires 30 June 2006
- ❑ **Ken Wild**  
Partner, Deloitte & Touche, United Kingdom, term expires 30 June 2006
- ❑ **Ian Wright**  
Partner, PricewaterhouseCoopers, United Kingdom, term expires 30 June 2004

## **IASC FOUNDATION APPOINTS A DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION**

The IASC Foundation has appointed Elizabeth Hickey as Director of Education. She will be responsible for assisting in the preparation of explanatory and educational materials related to IFRS, for assuring the quality of educational products carrying the IASC Foundation logo, for general educational activities, and for assisting the IASCF Trustees in a possible proficiency-testing programme.

## **IVSC PUBLISHES 2003 EDITION OF INTERNATIONAL VALUATION STANDARDS**

The International Valuation Standards Committee has published the 2003 edition of International Valuation Standards, a comprehensive volume of all of its standards. For the first time the standards can be viewed or downloaded without charge from the IVSC Website: [www.ivsc.org](http://www.ivsc.org)

## IFRS-RELATED NEWS FROM IFAC

### NEW IAASB STATEMENT ON REPORTING BY AUDITORS ON COMPLIANCE WITH IFRS

The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board has issued International Auditing Practice Statement 1014 to provide guidance when the auditor expresses an opinion on financial statements that are asserted by management to be prepared:

- Solely in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS);
- In accordance with both IFRS and a national financial reporting framework; or
- In accordance with a national financial reporting framework with disclosure of the extent of compliance with IFRS.

Regarding simultaneous compliance with both IFRS and national GAAP, IAPS 1014 states:

*Simultaneous compliance with both IFRSs and a national financial reporting framework is unlikely unless the country has adopted IFRSs as its national financial reporting framework or has eliminated all barriers for compliance with IFRSs. It is helpful for the auditor to discuss financial statements that state they have been prepared in accordance with IFRSs and a national financial reporting framework with management and those charged with governance. The purpose of the discussion is to advise management and those charged with governance of the possibility of a qualified opinion or adverse opinion on compliance with one or both of the financial reporting frameworks, given that the ability to simultaneously comply fully with IFRSs and a national financial reporting framework is unlikely.*

IAPS 1014 supplements guidance provided in International Standard on Auditing 700, The Auditor's Report on Financial Statements. The IAASB has also released two exposure drafts regarding assurance engagements and audits of small business. All IAASB documents are available without charge on the IAASB's web pages: [www.iaasb.org](http://www.iaasb.org)

Here is the link to the IFAC letter:  
[www.ifac.org/Downloads/IFAC-PCAOBMay12.pdf](http://www.ifac.org/Downloads/IFAC-PCAOBMay12.pdf)

### IFAC URGES PCAOB TO RELY ON INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS ON AUDITING

In a letter to the US Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the International Federation of Accountants has urged the PCAOB to "seek public comment on the appropriateness of using International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) as a common base for issuers in the US." IFAC pointed out the benefits of adopting an internationally consistent approach to professional auditing standards. IFAC noted that using ISAs as a common base would require auditors to both:

- perform a financial statement audit in accordance with ISAs, and
- perform additional procedures and report on additional matters in response to specific legal, regulatory, or other needs established at a national level.



*Except for administrative and personnel matters, all of these meetings are open to public observation. Registration forms are on IASB's web site.*

## **UPCOMING MEETINGS OF IASB, SAC, AND IFRIC**

### **International Accounting Standards Board**

- 22-24 July 2003, London
- 17-19 September 2003 (plus 22-23 September: Meeting with National Standard Setter Chairs), London
- 22-24 October 2003, Toronto
- 17-19 November 2003, (plus 20-21 November: Meeting with the Standards Advisory Council), London
- 17-19 December 2003, London

### **Standards Advisory Council**

- 20-21 November 2003, London

### **International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee**

- ~~30-31 July 2003, London: *This meeting has been cancelled.*~~
- 30 September-1 October 2003, London
- 2-3 December 2003, London

### **IASC Foundation Trustees**

- 29 July 2003, Washington, DC
- 4 November 2003, Brussels

## CONVERGENCE OF IFRS AND US GAAP

*FASB's project update on stock-based compensation:  
[www.fasb.org/project/stock-based\\_comp.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/stock-based_comp.shtml)*

### CONVERGENCE ON KEY SHARE-BASED PAYMENT DECISIONS

Both the IASB and the US FASB have projects on share-based payments. In April 2003, the FASB voted that stock options “are payment for goods and services and that those costs should be recognised”. FASB will consider the timing of recognition and measurement issues at future meetings. The IASB reached the same conclusion in ED 2.

While both boards have concluded that compensation cost should be recognised over the service period, the measurement technique proposed by the IASB in ED 2 (units-of-service attribution method) differed from the modified grant-date measurement approach in FASB Statement 123. The FASB considered both approaches and decided to keep the FAS 123 method. At its May 2003 meeting, the IASB decided to change from the units-of-service attribution method of ED 2 to the FAS 123 method.

Consequently an agenda paper prepared by the FASB for the June 2003 meeting of its Advisory Council summarised the two boards' decisions to date and concluded that “the IASB and the FASB are converged with respect to accounting for equity-settled employee stock-based compensation transactions.... The two Boards are converged in the sense that if the IASB's proposed guidance and the FASB's tentative decisions do not change, the final standards would be converged.”

The FASB's decision summary notes the following key decisions made to date:

- ❑ **Recognition.** Goods or services received in exchange for stock-based compensation result in a cost that should be recognised in the income statement as an expense when the goods or services are consumed by the enterprise.
- ❑ **Measurement Attribute.** The measurement attribute for an exchange involving stock-based compensation is fair value.
- ❑ **Measurement Objective.** The measurement objective for equity-settled awards is to determine the fair value of the goods or services received in the exchange, which should be based on (a) the fair value of the goods or services received or (b) the grant-date fair value of the equity instruments issued (that is, modified grant date measurement), whichever is more reliably measurable.
- ❑ **Attribution.** Compensation cost should be recognised over the service period using the attribution method in FASB Statement 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, rather than by the units-of-service attribution method proposed in IASB ED 2, Share-based Payment. In May 2003 the IASB decided to move to the SFAS 123 model.

*FASB's project update on its short-term international convergence project:  
[www.fasb.org/project/short-term\\_intl\\_convergence.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/short-term_intl_convergence.shtml)*

*FASB's project update on its short-term international convergence project:  
[www.fasb.org/project/short-term\\_intl\\_convergence.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/short-term_intl_convergence.shtml)*

*FASB's project update on its short-term international convergence project:  
[www.fasb.org/project/short-term\\_intl\\_convergence.shtml](http://www.fasb.org/project/short-term_intl_convergence.shtml)*

## **FASB WILL CONVERGE WITH IASB ON EPS CALCULATIONS**

At its 11 June 2003 meeting, the US Financial Accounting Standards Board agreed with the IASB decision to remove the issues of joint ventures, proportionate consolidation, and hyperinflationary economies from the scope of their convergence project. The FASB also added issues relating to earnings per share to its project scope and decided the following:

- ❑ For annual and year-to-date computation of diluted EPS, the dilutive effect of options and warrants should be reflected by applying the treasury stock method for the year-to-date period independently from any interim computation. Options and warrants will have a dilutive effect under the treasury stock method only when the average market price of the common stock for the year-to-date period exceeds the exercise price of the options and warrants. The IASB has adopted a similar year-to-date approach.
- ❑ When an entity has issued a contract that may be settled either in shares or in cash at the entity's option, the entity should presume that the contract will be settled in shares if the effect is dilutive. That presumption may not be overcome, regardless of past practice or stated policy to the contrary. While the IASB Improvements ED had proposed that the presumption be rebuttable, the IASB decided at its February 2003 meeting that the presumption may not be overcome.

## **CONVERGENCE ON CLASSIFYING DEBT AS NON-CURRENT**

The FASB has tentatively decided, as part of its short-term convergence project, to propose adoption of the positions taken by the IASB in its Improvements exposure draft, namely that:

- ❑ Long-term debt due within 12 months of the balance sheet date should be classified as a current liability unless an agreement to refinance the liability on a long-term basis is completed on or before the balance sheet date.
- ❑ Long-term debt payable on demand at the balance sheet date because the entity breached a condition of its loan agreement should be classified as current unless the lender has agreed on or before the balance sheet date to provide a grace period for rectifying the breach during which the obligation is not callable and either (a) the entity rectifies the breach within the grace period or (b) at the time that the financial statements are issued, it is probable that the breach will be rectified within the grace period.

## **CONVERGENCE ON LIABILITY VS. EQUITY CLASSIFICATIONS**

In May 2003, the FASB issued its Statement No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity, which requires an issuer to classify certain financial instruments as liabilities. The FAS 150 classifications are essentially consistent with the classifications under IAS 32 and IAS 39 (as proposed to be amended).

Because FAS 150 has a limited scope, it does not address a number of liability-equity questions that are addressed under IAS 32 and IAS 39, such as accounting for compound instruments and for contingently redeemable instruments, but the FASB intends to address those issues in a later phase of the project. Under FAS 150, three types of instruments would be classified as liabilities by the issuer:

- ❑ Mandatorily redeemable shares.
- ❑ Instruments that do or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets.
- ❑ Obligations that can be settled with shares, the monetary value of which is fixed, tied solely or predominantly to a variable such as a market index, or varies inversely with the value of the issuer's shares.

## NEWS ABOUT IFRS IN EUROPE

### EC WILL SEEK MODIFICATIONS OF IAS FOR USE IN EUROPE

In May 2003, the European Commission published its Internal Market Strategy 2003-2006, a ten-point plan to make the Internal Market work better. Point 6 – improving conditions for business – states that the European Commission will request “appropriate modifications” to certain existing IAS before they will be endorsed for use in Europe. An EC Accounting Regulatory Committee has been established for that purpose:

*A recently adopted Regulation requires all EU-listed companies to prepare their consolidated accounts in accordance with International Accounting Standards (IAS) from 2005. This will bring transparency and greater comparability between the consolidated financial statements of EU listed companies, hence better capital allocation and possibly a reduction in the cost of capital. IAS are established by the International Accounting Standards Board, an independent international accounting standard-setting organisation. In order to ensure appropriate political oversight, the Regulation stipulates that IAS to be applied in the EU will also have to be endorsed into Community law. Existing IAS will be endorsed during 2003, provided that, for some of them, the appropriate modifications are made.*

*You can read the summary of the ARC meeting at:  
[http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal\\_market/accounting/committees\\_en.htm#arc](http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal_market/accounting/committees_en.htm#arc)*

### IAS ENDORSED FOR USE IN EUROPE – OTHER THAN 32/39

At its meeting on 16 July 2003, the Accounting Regulatory Committee (ARC) – which is charged under Europe’s IAS Regulation with assessing the suitability of IFRS for use in Europe – voted unanimously to endorse all existing International Accounting Standards other than IAS 32 and IAS 39 and related interpretations SIC 5, 16 and 17. Because the IASB is currently deliberating amendments to those two Standards, the ARC wants to consider the revised Standards for endorsement. In Europe, some of the IASB’s proposed revisions to IAS 32 and IAS 39 are still meeting strong criticism, particularly from the banking (e.g. for dealing with issues such as macro-hedging) and the insurance industry. European constituents will have another opportunity to comment on the hedging aspects of IAS 39 when the IASB releases a revised Exposure Draft during the third quarter of 2003.

### ECOFIN STRESSES THE IMPORTANCE OF ADOPTION OF IFRS FOR APPLICATION FROM 1 JANUARY 2005

The day prior to the ARC meeting, the EU Council of Finance Ministers (ECOFIN) discussed implementation measures for the IAS Regulation that was adopted by the European Union in June 2002. ECOFIN issued the following public announcement:

- “1. The Council stresses the importance of the European financial reporting strategy adopted by Council and Parliament and the need for international accounting standards to be adopted in the EU as soon as possible for application from 1 January 2005.*
- 2. This strategy can only be a success if the international accounting standards are of the highest quality. In order to achieve this objective, and given the economic significance of accounting standards for European industry, the Council:*
  - notes that the Commission intends promptly to consider ways to enhance the role and the working process of the European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) in order to ensure that European concerns are taken into proper consideration in the international accounting standard setting process;*
  - notes that a review of the International Accounting Standards Board, an independent body, to be conducted by the Board of Trustees of the*

*IAS Foundation, looking into the structure and effectiveness of the Foundation, will commence in February 2004;*

- *notes that the Commission, as part of that review, intends to urge the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to ensure that all parties concerned are given a full opportunity to participate in the elaboration of the standards, including their economic impact, and to discuss with the IASB its present institutional structure to ensure that it is conducive to the achievement of the aforementioned objective;*
  - *asks the Commission to request the IASB to continue its dialogue with representatives of European industries in order to find a satisfactory and timely solution for the revised IAS 32 and 39 in view of their envisaged application.*
3. *The Council agrees with the Commission regarding the importance of an immediate adoption of all existing IAS, with IAS 32 and 39 as soon as possible thereafter. The adoption of future standards must respect the quality criteria set out in the IAS Regulation and be conducive to the European public good.”*

### **DIRECTIVE ON PROSPECTUSES APPROVED**

Early July 2003, the European Parliament and Council of Ministers have approved a new Directive on prospectuses, which will simplify the process of raising capital in the EU. A “single passport” approved by the regulatory authority in one member state will be valid throughout Europe. The Directive covers only initial disclosure requirements at the time of capital raising. Conditions for admission to listing and ongoing financial reporting remain subject to existing European and national requirements.

*You can download the accounting directives here:*

*[http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal\\_market/accounting/officialdocs\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal_market/accounting/officialdocs_en.htm)*

### **COUNCIL OF MINISTERS APPROVES AMENDED ACCOUNTING DIRECTIVES**

In early May 2003, the European Union’s Council of Ministers approved the amended EU 4th and 7th Company Law Directives, bringing them into law. The European Parliament had approved the directives in January 2003.

The revised directives complement the IAS Regulation, adopted in June 2002 that requires all listed EU companies to use IFRS from 2005 onwards. The regulation allows member states to extend IFRS to all companies. If they choose not to do so the revised directives make improvements in EU financial reporting that could therefore affect up to five million non-listed companies. Among the changes:

- All inconsistencies of the old directives and IFRS have been removed.
- Companies’ ability to keep liabilities off the balance sheet by using special purpose vehicles is restricted.
- Disclosures about risks and uncertainties are required in annual reports.
- Audit reports are made more consistent across the EU.

*Euronext combines the exchanges in Amsterdam, Brussels, Lisbon, and Paris.*

### **EURONEXT PUBLISHES NEW IFRS RULES**

The Euronext market has published a new version of its Rulebook, which provides that the 151 companies listed on the Nextprime segment and the 116 companies listed on the Nexteconomy segment must comply with International Financial Reporting Standards earlier than companies (approximately 1,200 in number) quoted on the other Euronext market segments. In summary:

- From financial years beginning on or after 1 January 2004, companies on the Nextprime and Nexteconomy segments must publish quarterly reports.
- In the second quarterly report for the financial year beginning on or after 1 January 2004, the company must publish a note describing the relevant effects of switching to IFRS on a later date on the IFRS opening balance sheet and the income of the current period. This information should be updated in subsequent quarters as needed. This

only applies to entities that have not already published their latest annual consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS. It is expected that Euronext will publish further details on the type of information to be included in the note (whether it is narrative or quantitative).

- ❑ Auditors must perform a limited review on the second quarter report.

*EFRAG's web site:  
www.efrag.org*

### **EFRAG SUPPORTS ADOPTION OF IFRS 1 IN EUROPE**

The European Financial Reporting Advisory Group has posted on its website a draft of its proposed letter to the European Commission recommending that "it is in the European interest" for the Commission to adopt IFRS 1. EFRAG's role is advisory.

### **IASB PRESENTATIONS IN EUROPE ON TRANSITION TO IFRS**

Members of the IASB are undertaking a series of presentations throughout Europe to highlight issues related to the transition to IFRS for EU publicly-listed companies in 2005:

<b>Target Audience</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
Italy	Rome	20 June	Sir David Tweedie
New EU entrants	Warsaw / Prague	15/16 July	Hans-Georg Bruns
Netherlands	Amsterdam	24 September	Sir David Tweedie and Thomas Jones
Luxembourg	Luxembourg City	25 September	John Smith
Germany	Berlin	9 October	Sir David Tweedie and Hans-Georg Bruns
Spain	Madrid	6/7 November	Sir David Tweedie
France	Paris	To Be Scheduled	Sir David Tweedie and Thomas Jones

*You will find the accounting directives and the press release on the small-company exemption here:*

*[http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal\\_market/accounting/officialdocs\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu.int/comm/internal_market/accounting/officialdocs_en.htm)*

*You can download the full text of the 10-point plan at:*

*<http://www.iasplus.com/restruct/euro2003.htm#may2003>*

### **EU ENLARGES SMALL-COMPANY REPORTING EXEMPTIONS**

The European Union has amended its accounting directives to allow member states to exempt more small and medium-sized enterprises from certain financial reporting and disclosure requirements usually imposed on limited liability companies. For instance, member states may allow them to publish only an abridged balance sheet and income statement and abridged notes.

### **EC SETS OUT PRIORITIES TO IMPROVE AUDIT QUALITY**

The European Commission has published a 10-point plan for improving and harmonising the quality of independent audits throughout the EU. Approximately two million European companies are required by statute to have an annual audit. To implement the plan, existing European legislation (particularly the 8<sup>th</sup> Directive) will be revised and extended. The plan is divided into short- and medium-term priorities:

#### **Short-Term Priorities (2003-2004)**

- ❑ Modernise the 8th Directive to include principles on public oversight, external quality assurance, auditor education and independence, code of ethics, auditing standards, disciplinary sanctions, and the appointment and dismissal of statutory auditors.
- ❑ Create an EU Regulatory Committee on Audit, with power to adopt detailed binding auditing regulations (the present EU Committee on Auditing, renamed the Audit Advisory Committee, composed of representatives of Member States and of the profession, will become an advisory committee).

- ❑ Strengthen public oversight of auditors at both the member State and EU levels.
- ❑ Require International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) for all EU statutory audits from 2005.

#### **Medium-Term Priorities (2004-2006)**

- ❑ Improve disciplinary sanctions.
- ❑ Make audit firms and their networks more transparent, including disclosure requirements for audit firms.
- ❑ Strengthen audit committees and internal controls.
- ❑ Reinforce auditor independence and code of ethics (including seeking US recognition of the equivalence of the EU approach).
- ❑ Remove restrictions on the establishment of EU audit firms and on cross-border provision of audit services.
- ❑ Examine the economic impact of auditor liability regimes in member States.

#### **UK FSA CHAIRMAN CALLS FOR GLOBAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

In the 2003 Monetary Authority of Singapore Annual Lecture, Sir Howard Davies, chairman of the UK Financial Services Authority (FSA), identified a comprehensive set of international accounting standards, enforced through high quality independent audits, as the number one item on his agenda for change to strengthen the international financial structure:

*Accounting standards are the foundation stone of the financial system, and of financial regulation. Without accounting numbers in which investors can have confidence, regulation cannot hope to be effective. And those accounts must be audited objectively and independently.*

*Since the reformation of the International Accounting Standards Board three years ago a determined effort has been under way, led by Paul Volcker and David Tweedie, to complete the standard set and secure broad agreement to their acceptance around the world. They are now close to success, but there are some difficult obstacles still to be overcome, notably the question of the treatment of financial instruments (IAS 39). I very much hope an acceptable solution can soon be found. It would be a great pity if this opportunity were missed, and without satisfactory accounting for financial instruments IASs are unlikely to be accepted in the US.*

## IFRS-RELATED NEWS FROM THE UNITED STATES

<http://www.sec.gov/news/press/2003-53.htm>

### SEC REAFFIRMS FASB STANDARDS FOR SEC FILINGS

The US Securities and Exchange Commission has reaffirmed its policy of recognising FASB pronouncements as being generally accepted for purposes of filings with the Commission. The SEC's action was in response to section 108 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which, among other things, specifies the criteria that must be met in order for an accounting standard setter's work product to be recognised as generally accepted by the Commission. In its new policy statement, the Commission noted:

*In order for US accounting standards to remain relevant and to continue to improve, however, the Commission expects the FASB to consider, in adopting accounting principles, the extent to which international convergence on high quality accounting standards is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors, including consideration of moving towards greater reliance on principles-based accounting standards whenever it is reasonable to do so.... We expect that during its deliberations of an accounting issue the FASB will consider, among other things, international accounting standards addressing that issue.*

*Commissioner Campos's speech in its entirety:*

<http://www.sec.gov/news/speech/spch061103rcc.htm>

### SEC COMMISSIONER SPEAKS ON CROSS-BORDER ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING ISSUES

US SEC Commissioner Roel C. Campos spoke in Brussels on *Embracing International Business in the Post-Enron Era* before the Centre for European Policy Studies on 11 June 2003. He reviewed international accounting- and auditing-related matters such as principles-based standards, accommodations for foreign market participants in implementing the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, accommodations for foreign auditing firms by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the convergence project of the IASB and the FASB, and acceptance of International Accounting Standards in the United States.

*The staff Q&A can be found here:*  
[www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/faqs/nongaapfaq.htm](http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/faqs/nongaapfaq.htm)

### SEC STAFF GUIDANCE ON NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The SEC staff has responded to 33 questions on the disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures. Five of the Q&A are specifically intended for foreign private issuers, addressing issues such as income statement subtotals and earnings per share amounts that are expressly permitted or required by a foreign GAAP but that are not calculated consistently with those permitted or required by US GAAP. The Q&A are based on a rule on conditions for use of non-GAAP financial measures that the SEC adopted on 22 January 2003 pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

*More information:*  
[www.sec.gov/news/press/2003-66.htm](http://www.sec.gov/news/press/2003-66.htm)

### SEC REQUIRES REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL WITH AUDITOR ATTESTATION

The US Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted new final rules on management's report on internal control over financial reporting. The effective date for large US issuers is financial years ending on or after 15 June 2004. Foreign private issuers and small US issuers have until years ending on or after 15 April 2005.

The rules require, among other things, that annual reports include a report by management on the effectiveness of the company's system of internal controls over financial reporting and a statement that its auditor has issued an attestation report on management's assessment. The rules also require that the CEO and CFO certifications of financial statements be identified as exhibits to periodic reports such as those on Form 10-K, 10-Q, and 20-F.



## **FINAL PCAOB AUDITOR REGISTRATION RULES APPLY TO NON-US FIRMS**

The US Public Company Accounting Oversight Board has adopted final auditor registration rules pursuant to the Sarbanes -Oxley Act of 2002. All public accounting firms must be registered with the PCAOB if they wish to prepare or issue audit reports on US public companies, or to play a substantial role in preparing or issuing such reports. Registration deadlines:

- ❑ US public accounting firms – 22 October 2003.
- ❑ Non-US public accounting firms – 19 April 2004.

Registration will be via an on-line form on the PCAOB's website. The form is currently being developed, with availability expected early July 2003. Applicants must pay a registration fee. Registered firms will be required to file annual reports with the PCAOB and perhaps other periodic reports.

## **PCAOB WILL SET US AUDITING STANDARDS, NOT AICPA**

By unanimous vote, the new US Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) has decided not to delegate responsibility for setting auditing standards to the accounting profession, but rather to set the standards itself. Heretofore, for over 60 years, the American Institute of CPAs has promulgated auditing standards in the United States. The Financial Accounting Standards Board will continue to set accounting standards.

All auditors of public companies will need to follow PCAOB standards. On an interim basis, compliance with existing generally accepted auditing standards is required. The PCAOB said its standard setting process will continue to involve participation, dialogue and open observation by a large and diverse group of participants. The PCAOB's auditing standards will include matters of quality control, professional ethics, and independence of auditors from companies whose financial statements they audit.

Though the PCAOB has authority to regulate all auditors – American or foreign – of all public companies in the United States, the extent to which PCAOB auditing standards would apply to foreign auditors remains to be addressed.

The PCAOB also voted to finance its operations by fees paid by publicly traded companies in proportion to their size. Auditing firms will also pay fees to fund the PCAOB's auditor registration system.

*All of the DTT publications mentioned on this page can be downloaded from our web site's publications page: [www.iasplus.com/dttpubs/pubs.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/dttpubs/pubs.htm)*

## **PUBLICATIONS FROM DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU**

### **THIRD EDITION OF IFRS IN YOUR POCKET IS PUBLISHED**

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu has published the third edition of IFRS in your Pocket. This popular 80-page guide includes:

- ❑ IASB structure and contact details.
- ❑ IASC and IASB chronology.
- ❑ Use of IFRS Around the World (including updates on Europe and the US).
- ❑ Summaries of each IASB Standard and Interpretation, annotated to indicate key proposals for change in current IASB projects.
- ❑ Background and tentative decisions on all current IASB projects.
- ❑ Other useful IASB-related information.

You can download this and other Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu IFRS-related publications on the publications page of our [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com) website. We are pleased to grant permission for accounting educators and students to print copies for educational purposes.

Printed copies are available to accounting educators (while supplies last). Please email: [info@iasplus.com](mailto:info@iasplus.com).

### **IFRS-US GAAP COMPARISON IN SPANISH**

Principales Diferencias: IAS vs US GAAP is the Spanish translation of our comparison of International Financial Reporting Standards and US GAAP. You can download a copy at [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com). We are pleased to grant permission for accounting educators and students to make copies for educational use.

*All of the DTT publications mentioned on this page can be downloaded from our web site's publications page: [www.iasplus.com/dttpubs/pubs.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/dttpubs/pubs.htm)*

### **NEW DTT GUIDANCE ON ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES**

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu has published a 120-page book of guidance on applying SSAP 12, Hong Kong's new standard on Accounting for Income Taxes. Because SSAP 12 is substantially equivalent to IAS 12, we believe that this book will be of interest to all who apply IFRS.

Chapters cover calculation of tax balances; current tax; deferred tax; tax bases; temporary differences; balance sheet recognition; measurement; recognition of the movement between the opening and closing balance sheets; and presentation and disclosure. There is also a chapter on applying the standard to Hong Kong circumstances including business combinations; investments; revaluations of properties; foreign currency translation; and compound financial instruments. Appendices include example tax worksheets; a presentation and disclosure checklist; illustrative disclosures; and an international comparison.

There are two main differences between SSAP 12 and IAS 12:

- ❑ The first is that the Hong Kong standard includes significant additional implementation guidance within the body of SSAP 12 that is not in IAS 12.
- ❑ Secondly, with respect to revalued investment property (including freehold land, land use rights, and buildings) the Hong Kong standard has arrived at a "Hong Kong solution for a Hong Kong problem". Under SSAP 12, deferred tax on all revalued investment property should be measured based on the tax consequences that would follow from recovery of the carrying amount of the asset through sale. Since the Hong Kong tax law provides for no capital gains tax on sale of investment property, minimal deferred tax would be recognised. This

is, in effect, an extension of the scope of SIC 21, which applies only to freehold land. The Hong Kong Society of Accountants expects to reconsider this difference after completion of the IASB's Improvements and Convergence projects.

*This is not a printed document, but you can print out the comparison from: [www.iasplus.com/country/canada.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/country/canada.htm)*

## **COMPARISON OF IFRS AND CANADIAN GAAP IS UPDATED**

We have updated the Comparison of IFRS and Canadian Accounting pronouncements on our website to reflect all pronouncements issued as of 30 April 2003. The comparison is taken from Section 1501 of the Handbook of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants and is presented with the CICA's permission (and our thanks).

## **IFRS OF GROWING IMPORTANCE FOR US COMPANIES**

Deloitte & Touche (US) has published a booklet on International Financial Reporting Standards: Of Growing Importance for US Companies. The booklet discusses the factors that may influence the importance of IFRS in the United States, the need for global accounting standards, and the implications for organisations that adopt them.

## **THREE GERMAN LANGUAGE IFRS PUBLICATIONS**

You can download from our website the German language versions of:

- Model IFRS Financial Statements (Musterkonzernabschluss).
- Disclosure and Presentation Checklist (Checkliste zu Ausweis und Angabevorschriften).
- Analysis of IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of IFRS (Erstmalige Anwendung der International Financial Reporting Standards).

*IAS Healthcheck 2003 can be downloaded from our web site's publications page: [www.iasplus.com/dtppubs/pubs.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/dtppubs/pubs.htm)*

## **IAS HEALTHCHECK 2003**

Of the 7,000 listed companies in Europe that will be required to adopt IFRS in 2005, over 3,000 of them are in the United Kingdom. IAS Healthcheck 2003, prepared by Deloitte & Touche (United Kingdom) reviews the implications of changing to IFRS not only with respect to accounting systems and financial reporting but also to other management issues including treasury management; distributions; debt covenants and financing; mergers and acquisitions; management compensation; product development; management information; statutory accounts and taxes; human resources; IT systems; and investor relations.

The 32-page guide sets out practical ideas for addressing these and other issues.

*There are links to all of these comparisons at: [www.iasplus.com/country/compare.htm](http://www.iasplus.com/country/compare.htm)*

## **NEW PAGES OF COMPARISONS OF IFRS AND NATIONAL GAAPs ADDED TO OUR IASPLUS WEB SITE**

We have created a new page on our [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com) website with links to comparisons of IFRS and national GAAPs. So far we have comparisons of IFRS and the national GAAPs as follows:

- Australia.
- Canada.
- China.
- Eastern Europe – comparison of 14 countries.
- Hong Kong.
- Netherlands.
- South Africa.
- United States.

*For more information, including subscription details and an online DART demonstration, visit our DART site:  
[www.deloitte.com/us/dart](http://www.deloitte.com/us/dart).*

## **DELOITTE ACCOUNTING RESEARCH TOOL IS AVAILABLE**

Deloitte & Touche (US) is making available, on a subscription basis, access to its online library of accounting and financial disclosure literature. Called the Deloitte Accounting Research Tool (DART), the library includes material from the FASB, the EITF, the AICPA, the SEC, and the IASB, in addition to Deloitte & Touche's own accounting manual and other interpretative accounting guidance. Updated every business day, DART has an intuitive design and navigation system, which, together with its powerful search features, enable users to quickly locate information any time, from any computer. Additionally, DART subscribers receive periodic emails highlighting recent additions to the DART library.

## ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATE IN THE EUROPE-AFRICA REGION

### AUSTRIA

Contact: *Erich Kandler*

### MOST AUSTRIAN LISTED COMPANIES HAVE ALREADY SWITCHED TO IFRS

For the past three years, the Vienna stock exchange has required all domestic and foreign companies listed on the A-Market and the Austrian Growth Market (AGM) to submit consolidated financial statements under either IFRS or US GAAP. Other listed companies have been permitted to use IFRS or US GAAP or the Austrian commercial code.

In their 2002 financial statements, nearly all listed Austrian companies have used IFRS. Only a few are now using US GAAP or the Austrian code. Starting in 2005, virtually all listed European companies, including Austrian companies, will be required to use IFRS.

### FAIR VALUE GUIDELINE OF EU

In February 2003 a draft of the Austrian "Fair Value Bewertungsgesetz" was issued, which is meant to change the Austrian Commercial Law to bring in line with the EU Guideline. The above mentioned possibility is executed in the way that the fair value estimation is only possible for consolidated financial statements based on IFRS. A mark to market valuation in the individual financial statements or consolidated financial statements based on Austrian GAAP is currently not permitted, as important tax and company law issues still have to be discussed.

### PROVISIONS FOR SEVERANCE PAYMENTS

Based on the "Betriebliche Mitarbeitervorsorgegesetz" the old regulation under which the company has to pay severance payments when the employee leaves the company (in special cases) is changed for model where contributions (1,53% of the monthly remuneration) are paid by the employer to a special fund (Mitarbeitervorsorgekasse). This is due for those employees whose contracts have been signed after December 31, 2002. Therefore no severance provision has to be recognised for these contracts.

### BELGIUM

Contact: *William Blomme*

### BELGIUM PROPOSES TO ADOPT IFRS IN 2007 FOR ALL BELGIAN ENTITIES

The Belgian Commission for Accounting Standards (CBN/CNC) has recently proposed a comprehensive approach to adopting IFRS in Belgium by all Belgian entities starting in 2007:

#### Consolidated annual accounts

CBN/CNC is proposing that IFRS be mandatory for all consolidated annual accounts starting from 2007. This would impact more than 600 Belgian non-listed entities that now prepare consolidated annual accounts. Until the EU IFRS regulation comes into force in 2005 (which obliges listed entities to adopt IFRS in preparing their consolidated accounts), the CBN/CNC would reform its policy with respect to the use of non-Belgian GAAP. Specifically, it would make it easier for both listed and non-listed companies to get permission to use IFRS for their consolidated financial statements and would no longer allow a company to adopt US GAAP or any other GAAP in substitution for the Belgian GAAP. As a result, entities would be able to use IFRS for their consolidated annual accounts even before 2005.

#### Statutory annual accounts

CBN/CNC also has proposed an ambitious plan to converge the Belgian Accounting Law with IAS/IFRS as from 2007. Taking into account the scope and the importance of this harmonisation task, the CBN foresees putting all adaptations simultaneously into effect on 1 January 2007. The CBN/CNC indicated that the adaptations to the Belgian Accounting Law

would be tackled pragmatically and that the Belgian context and the scope of the entities concerned would be taken into account explicitly.

## **CZECH REPUBLIC**

Contact: *Michael Petrman*

### **ALL LISTED COMPANIES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC MAY NOW USE IFRS**

In the Czech Republic starting from 2002, all listed companies are permitted to prepare consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS. For certain listed companies – about a dozen that trade on the main board of the Prague Stock Exchange – IFRS financial statements are now required.

## **ESTONIA**

Contact: *Rita Illisson*

Estonia will be one of the first European countries, which will allow IFRS also in stand-alone financial statements for statutory purposes. This reform was supported by the fact that in Estonia, the corporate tax is charged on dividends, not on profit. Therefore, the accounting framework does not affect the tax basis and the state budget revenues.

All listed companies will be required to apply IFRS in their consolidated and separate accounts from 1 January 2005 (most of them do it already).

#### **New Estonian GAAP - accounting principles fully harmonised with IFRS but less disclosure required**

In addition, the new law requires national accounting standards (Estonian GAAP) to be harmonised with IFRS and cross-referenced to applicable IFRS paragraphs. Any differences in the local standards compared to IFRS must be explained and justified. The accounting principles in the new standards of Estonian GAAP are fully harmonised with IFRS (in very rare cases simplified methods are allowed) but they will require less disclosure than IFRS. In areas, which are not covered by the guidelines of Estonian GAAP, the IFRS treatment is recommended, but not mandatory.

The Estonian Accounting Standards Board (EASB) has already rewritten most of its standards and brought them in line with the requirements of the new law and IFRS.

Large companies are expected to choose the full IFRS option, small and medium-size companies are likely to use revised Estonian GAAP ('simplified IFRS') as their accounting framework.

Very likely, 2003 profit and equity will be substantially the same under IFRS and Estonian GAAP, but Estonian GAAP financials will be less informative than IFRS financial statements.

## **FRANCE**

Contact: *Laurence Rivat*

### **COMITE D'URGENCE OF THE CONSEIL NATIONAL DE LA COMPTABILITE**

During the second quarter of 2003, the Comité d'urgence issued three interpretations on existing standards:

#### **2003-B – with respect to the classification (ordinary/exceptional item ) of contributions payable by financial institutions to the deposit indemnity mechanism.**

The interpretation clarifies that such contributions should be considered as operating expenses for financial institutions except the subscriptions paid for the year 1999 (first year of implementation of the funds).

#### **2003-C – with respect to the consequences of a new tax regime for investment properties in investment companies.**

According to new tax regulations, an investment company can opt for a tax payment as settlement of current and future unrealised, gains on its investment properties. The interpretation clarifies that, when a company chooses this option, it should account for the tax payment :

- either as a deduction of the revalued amount of the investment properties, if the company decides to revalue its assets at fair value at the date of the option;

- either as an expense if the company prefers not to revalue its assets.

### **2003-D – on accounting for compensation received for the impairment or loss of items of property, plant and equipment**

The interpretation clarifies that compensation received from third parties (either insurance companies or other parties) after the impairment or loss of items of PP&E should be included in the income statement when recognised. This interpretation is consistent with the requirements of SIC 14, PP&E – Compensation for the impairment or loss of items.

### **CONSEIL NATIONAL DE LA COMPTABILITE (CNC)**

At its plenary session of 24 June 2003, the CNC approved 4 new Avis. The Avis will become binding when the Comité de la Réglementation Comptable (CRC) will approve them.

Three Avis are specific to pension funds, mutual funds and securitisation vehicles.

The Avis CNC 2003-10: Accounting for revaluation surplus, clarifies the treatment of the revaluation surplus and reminds that it cannot be distributed.

### **CONSEIL NATIONAL DES COMMISSAIRES AUX COMPTES (CNCC)**

Following the issuance of the transitional provisions of the CRC regulation 2002-10 with respect to maintenance and major overhaul costs (see January 2003 IAS Plus Newsletter – Europe/Africa edition), the CNCC issued, in June 2003, a communiqué to clarify how to apply the transitional provisions of the regulation to the individual annual financial statements and in consolidated accounts.

As a result :

- For financial statements covering periods beginning before 01 January 2003, entities that have not yet recognised provisions for maintenance and major overhaul are not required to do so. However, entities that have already recognised such provisions must continue to do so.
- For financial statements covering periods beginning on or after 01 January 2003, all entities will have to recognise major overhaul costs, either by using the component approach for its assets under URC Regulation 2002-10 or by recognising provisions for future costs under CRC regulation 2000-06. The CNCC position clarifies that entities may not necessarily apply the same accounting policy (provision vs. component approach) for maintenance costs as for major overhaul costs and that they can opt for a different treatment in annual financial statements and in consolidated accounts

### **COMMISSION DES OPERATIONS DES BOURSE (COB)**

On 30 June 2003, the COB issued a communiqué that is applicable to the reports that will be published by French listed companies under the French listing requirements. This communiqué reminds companies that :

- For classifying a financial instrument as equity, the instrument should meet the two following criteria :
  - The instrument should not be redeemable nor its reimbursement be controlled by the issuer, and
  - Interest is not due by the issuer in case of a lack of profit.
- When considering specific instruments for which interest payment can be postponed from one year to another, the COB recommends that this type of instrument should be analysed carefully in order to determine if the payment of the interests due is probable or not. The communiqué indicates that this probability should be evaluated by reference to past experience and to the present and future perspective of the issuer.

## GERMANY

Contact: *Andreas Barckow*

At its 65th meeting on 15 May, the GASB discussed a draft of its revised terms of reference taking into account changes in GASC's constitution approved by the last general assembly (see IAS Plus Newsletter April edition). A revised text will be submitted to GASC's management committee for approval.

At the meeting, the GASB also decided that E-GAS 11, Accounting for share option plans and similar compensation arrangements, will not be finalised or re-exposed before an IFRS is published. The Board agreed that activities on financial instruments will not be taken up, before the European Fair Value Directive is implemented into the German Commercial Code. Furthermore, the GASB made the decision that no activities will be pursued on issues related to measuring assets or liabilities at their fair value.

Concerning E-GAS 18, Currency translation, some questions arising while finalizing were solved in the meeting.

As regards IASB's ED 3, Business Combinations, the GASB approved the final text of its comment letter, at its 64th meeting on 7 and 8 April. The letter is available for download from GASC's website. At that meeting, the Board also discussed how to go forward with the comments received on the draft framework. The Working Group will analyse the comments in detail and prepare proposals to amend the text.

At its 66th meeting on 4 June, the GASB considered revising extant German Accounting Standards. A draft will be submitted at the July meeting with the aim of publishing a draft standard containing all paragraphs to be revised with an invitation to comment.

Moreover, the GASB tentatively concluded to hold public discussions on the following IASB projects in late September or early October 2003:

- Insurance Contracts Phase I
- Disposal of Non-Current Assets and Reporting of Discontinued Operations (revision of IAS 35)
- Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets (revision of IAS 37)
- Financial Instruments (possible re-exposure of parts of IAS 32/39)

## ISRAEL

Contact: *Uri Levy*

Standard No. 15 of the Israeli Accounting Standards Board, *Impairment of Assets*, went into effect as of January 1, 2003. Standard No. 15 is based on IAS 36 Impairment of Assets Standard. The major difference between Standard No. 15 and IAS 36 is that Standard 15 prohibits the reversal of a goodwill impairment loss, while IAS 36 permits such a reversal, in certain circumstances.

In August 2003, the Israeli Accounting Standards Board issued Standard No. 14, *Interim Financial Reporting*, which is based on IAS 34 Interim Financial Reporting Standard. The standard applies effective January 1, 2003, to all entities that are required or that elect to present interim financial reporting. The major difference between Standard No. 14 and IAS 34 relate to the required comparative periods to be reported.



## NETHERLANDS

Contact: *Sander van Dam*

## NETHERLANDS ACCOUNTING GUIDELINES FOR PENSIONS MOVES CLOSER TO IFRS

In August 2002, the Netherlands Council for Annual Reporting (CAR) published a discussion memorandum on the treatment of pensions in the annual financial statements. A special working group of the CAR and the Social Economic Council (Stichting voor de Arbeid) have proposed changes and amendments to this memorandum. The CAR agreed with these changes and has decided to publish the proposed text as a final Guideline. This Guideline is based on the actual IAS 19, Employee Benefits. According to the CAR the final text does both justice to the terms of IAS 19 as well as to the particulars of the pension situation in the Netherlands.

The Guideline intends to give a direction to the consideration of the terms under which pension plans would qualify as a defined-contribution plan or as a defined-benefit plan. The Guideline also contains clear indications on how and when in determining the pension obligation one shall take into account indexing of pensions. The Guideline will become effective for financial statements starting on or after 1 January 2005. Whether this Guideline will also be applicable to small or medium-sized companies is currently under discussion. A number of exemptions for these types of companies is currently under investigation by a working group of the CAR.

The actual text of the Guideline is expected to be published in August. Before this date, the final text will not be made public.

## SOUTH AFRICA

Contact: *Graeme Berry*

## SOUTH AFRICAN LISTED COMPANIES MUST FOLLOW IFRS

The JSE Securities Exchange South Africa (JSE) has approved substantial amendments to its listing rules that will require all companies listed on the exchange to comply with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) for years commencing on or after 1 January 2005. Previously, a company whose primary listing is on the JSE could elect to comply with either South African Statements of Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (SA GAAP) or IFRS.

Under the amended JSE rules, a JSE GAAP Monitoring Panel will have the authority to sanction listed companies for non-compliance with either SA GAAP or IFRS.

*JSE Securities Exchange South Africa website:*

<http://www.jse.co.za/>

## SAICA'S SUBMISSION TO THE MINISTERIAL REVIEW PANEL

On 5 December 2002 the Minister of Finance established a panel to review the Draft Accountancy Profession Bill. The general objective of the Panel is to promote the continued integrity of financial markets through the effective regulation of auditors and accountants and the Panel is to make recommendations to the Minister by the end of July 2003. It is expected that the revised Bill will be presented to Cabinet towards the end of the year.

The Panel has requested all interested parties to comment on its terms of reference and related matters. The terms of reference, *inter alia*, include the structure of the regulatory framework, auditor rotation, disciplinary procedures for auditors and executive management of companies, accounting standards, etc. SAICA has submitted a comment letter to the Panel where its views on these matters and other related issues are put forward. This comment letter is available on the SAICA website.

## AC 300 SERIES OPINIONS

The APC is revising the process of issuing South African GAAP. As part of this process it intends to remove the AC 300-series Opinions and, where required, replace such Opinions with an AC 500-series Interpretation.

The AC 300 series were opinions issued by the Accounting Issues Task

Force (established by SAICA), in order to provide a forum for timely review of accounting issues that are, or could be subject to divergent, or unsatisfactory treatment in practice. Task force opinions do not override principles established by Generally Accepted Accounting Practice (GAAP) in the AC 100 and 400 series, but offer guidance to preparers, auditors and users of financial statements, thus facilitating the standardization of accounting treatments.

The AC 500 series pronouncements will be approved for issue by the Accounting Practices Board and will have the same authority as the AC 100 series Statements of GAAP and AC 400 series Interpretations.

Most of the AC 300 series opinions have subsequently been withdrawn and the first two proposed AC 500 series pronouncements are now being exposed for public comment.

### **ED 163: FRAMEWORK FOR THE PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION OF LIMITED PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Limited Purpose Financial Reporting Exposure Drafts were prepared for use by entities that qualify in terms of the Financial Reporting Bill (“The Bill”) to present financial statements that comply with Limited Purpose Financial Reporting Standards (“LPFRS”).

The Bill provides, inter alia, for the preparation of financial statements by entities using LPFRS. Entities using LPFRS are hereinafter referred to as “qualifying entities”. The Bill focuses on the user to determine when LPFRS may be used. Size is not a criterion. Qualifying entities may be large or small.

The closing date for comments from the public is 31 October 2003.

**UNITED KINGDOM**  
Contact: *Veronica Poole*

### **UK SURVEY SHOWS NEED FOR MORE PREPARATION FOR TRANSITION TO IFRS**

The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales has released results of a survey of its members (in both public practice and industry) to assess the level of awareness and preparation for the introduction of IFRS in 2005. Although the majority of members surveyed were aware of the move to IFRS, the survey showed that members generally were not aware of the extent of the impact that IFRS would have in the UK:

- A third of respondents had little or no awareness of the publication of the EU Regulation mandating the adoption of IFRS in 2005.
- Less than half of respondents felt they were aware of the effect IFRS would have on their company or financial statements.
- Two-thirds of survey participants were either “not very aware” or “not aware at all” of the IASB’s timetable for issuing both new and improved standards.
- Only 70% of respondents who had stated that IFRS was applicable to them felt that they would definitely be prepared in time for 2005.
- Only one in seven respondents were aware that the British government has issued a consultation paper on whether IFRS should apply to unlisted companies in the United Kingdom.

The full survey report is available on the ICAEW’s website:  
[www.icaew.co.uk](http://www.icaew.co.uk).

### **Recently Issued Documents**

**UITF Abstract 36 “Contracts for sales of capacity”:** Effective for years on or after June 2003. It deals with the issues arising, particularly in the telecommunications and electricity industries, where entities buy and sell capacity on each other’s networks and sets out limited circumstances under which transactions in capacity should be reported as sales.

**TECH 7/03 Distributable profits:** Guidance on the determination of realised profit and losses in the context of distributions under Companies Act 1985, issued by ICAEW and ICAS, aims to identify, interpret and apply principles relating to the determination of realised profits and losses for the purposes of making distributions under the Companies Act 1985. It reflects the law and accounting standards in issue at 31 December 2002.

**Draft UITF Abstract on treasury shares and proposed revision of Abstract on ESOP trusts:** It would require that treasury shares to be accounted for as a deduction from shareholder's funds and no gain or loss to be recognised in Profit or Loss account or Statements of Total Recognised Gains and Losses on purchase or subsequent sale or cancellation

**Draft UITF Abstract "Emission rights":** The principal conclusions of the draft is that a separate asset (for emission allowance held) and a liability (for the obligation to deliver allowances for emissions made) should be recognised.

**Investment trust companies revised SORP:** The association of Investment Trust Companies (AIRC) has published a revised SORP "Financial Statements of Investment Trust Companies". It replaces the 1995 SORP and has been updated for new and revised accounting standards issued since 1995.

## ABOUT DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is one of the world's leading professional services organisations. The member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu deliver world-class assurance and advisory, tax, and consulting services. With more than 119,000 people in over 140 countries, the member firms serve over one-half of the world's largest companies, as well as large national enterprises, public institutions, and successful, fast-growing global growth companies. Our internationally experienced professionals strive to deliver seamless, consistent services wherever our clients operate. Our mission is to help our clients and our people excel.

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is a Swiss Verein, and each of its national practices is a separate and independent legal entity.

## SUBSCRIBE TO IAS PLUS

The Europe-Africa edition of **IAS PLUS** is available quarterly in both printed and electronic formats. We also plan to send by email for any important news arising between issues of **IAS PLUS**. If you would like to receive the Asia-Pacific edition **IAS PLUS** regularly either by post or by email, please let us know.

I would like to receive the electronic version of **IAS PLUS** by email.

Here are my contact details:

NAME	
COMPANY	
ADDRESS	
CITY, COUNTRY, POST CODE	
EMAIL ADDRESS	

You may:

- Fax this form to Ms. Laurence Rivat, DTT Paris +33 1 5561 4737
- Mail this form to Ms. Laurence Rivat, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, 185 Charles de Gaulle, 92524 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex, France
- Email the information above to Laurence Rivat at [lrivat@deloitte.fr](mailto:lrivat@deloitte.fr)

Electronic editions of **IAS PLUS** are available at: [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com)

This newsletter has been written in general terms and is intended for general reference only. The application of its contents to specific situations will depend on the particular circumstances involved. Accordingly, we recommend that readers seek appropriate professional advice regarding any particular problems they encounter. This newsletter should not be relied on as a substitute for such advice. The partners and managers of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu will be pleased to advise on any such problems. While all reasonable care has been taken in the preparation of this newsletter, no responsibility is accepted by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu for any errors it might contain, or for any loss, howsoever caused, that happens to any person by their reliance on it.