

Re-modelled CLERP 9 lifts the bar on corporate governance

Introduction

The Corporate Law Economic Reform Program (Audit Reform and Corporate Disclosure) Act 20 force on 1 July 2004. More commonly known as 'CLERP 9', its final passage into law in time for the new designed to restore confidence in the market after a number of high profile corporate collapses both in A overseas.

CLERP 9 is a substantial piece of legislation that includes reforms relating to:

- disclosure of directors' remuneration
- financial reporting
- auditors independence
- · continuous disclosure and
- · enhanced penalty provisions.

What follows is a brief, but not exhaustive, overview of the main reforms.

Transitional provisions apply to some of the reforms and the timing of the effect of the reforms varies grand their advisers should familiarise themselves with the CLERP 9 timeframes so that any requand to company procedures in a timely fashion.

Shareholders to vote on directors' pay packets

Shareholders of listed companies will have the opportunity to express their opinion on the remuneration and senior managers, as well as the board's policy on remuneration. They are also required to give appropayments for directors and executives that exceed certain specified limits.

The chair of the AGM must allow shareholders a reasonable opportunity to ask questions about, or make remuneration report which is to include a description of the directors' and senior managers' remuneration listed companies. At the AGM shareholders must vote on the remuneration report. The vote on the resol only and does not bind the directors or the company.

CEO & CFO declarations

The directors' report for listed entities must include a declaration from the directors that they have receive from the CEO and CFO regarding the company's financial reports. This declaration must state that the records and annual financial statements are in compliance with the Corporations Act and accostandards. It must also include a section stating that the financial statements give a true and fair view of financial affairs.

Company secretaries' qualifications

Listed companies must disclose the qualifications and experience of the company secretary in the director

Auditor's attendance and questions at the AGM

Previously shareholders who attended the AGM were only entitled to ask questions of the auditor concer of the audit and the contents of the audit report and then only if the auditor chose to attend the AGM.

CLERP 9 requires the auditor of a listed company, or representative, to attend the company's A Meeting. All shareholders, not just those in attendance at the AGM, are able to ask questions of the audit hat shareholders can ask about extends beyond the audit's conduct and the audit report to include the policies and the independence of the auditor. New procedures exist under which shareholders are entitle written questions to the auditor prior to the AGM. This provides a process for all shareholders, not just that the AGM, to ask questions of the auditor.

Independence of auditors

CLERP 9 establishes a general standard for auditor independence, which states that independence will be a) A "conflict of interest situation" exists in relation to the audit client at a particular time;

- b) the auditor is aware that the situation exists, and
- c) the auditor does not ensure that the conflict of interest situation ceases to exist.

CLERP 9 also prohibits nine specific employment relationships and ten specific financial relationships between and an audit firm or a member of the audit firm (and in certain circumstances, their immediate fan

Audit Partner rotation and cooling off periods

Other important independence restrictions introduced by CLERP 9 include:

- an individual who plays a "significant role" in the audit of a listed company must rotate off that audit at successive years and not return for a further two years. The rotation applies only to individual auditors a The "significant role" definition will mean in practice that this requirement only applies to lead and review a cooling off period of two years is required for partners after they leave the audit firm before they can
- a cooling off period of two years is required for partners after they leave the audit firm before they can of the audit client; and
- no more than one former partner of an audit firm can be an officer of an audit client .

Auditor's Independence Declaration

The auditor of a disclosing entity must give a declaration that, to the best of the auditor's knowledge an have been no contraventions of the auditor independence requirements of the Corporations Act or any prelation to the audit. This declaration must be included in the directors' report of the relevant annual or h

Disclosure of non-audit services

Listed companies must prepare a "non-audit services" disclosure to be included in the annual report. The include the amount to be paid for each type of non-audit service, a statement as to whether the directors provision of these services has or has not compromised the independence of the auditors and the reason

Proportionate Liability

CLERP 9 replaces joint and several liability with proportionate liability in respect of certain civil actions ta Trade Practices Act, ASIC Act and the Corporations Act. The new legislation provides that, in relation to ϵ damage to property, where a claim is made under the relevant provisions of these Acts, the damages the may be held responsible for is proportionate to the loss caused by their actions.

Continuous Disclosure

Individuals who are involved in a breach of the continuous disclosure provisions of the Corporations Act a litigation. However a person who is sued under these provisions can respond by invoking the defence ("t defence") that they took all steps that were reasonable to ensure that the entity complied with its contin obligations and, after this, believed on reasonable grounds that the entity was complying with its obligations.

ASIC has the power to issue infringement notices to entities for breaches of the continuous disclosure prinfringement notices, which are intended to be used only in regard to less serious breaches, can only be has gone through a private hearing process. The penalties that can be applied through these notices range to \$100,000.

Auditors duty to report to ASIC

The position before the passage of CLERP 9 was that auditors were required to notify ASIC in writing if the grounds to suspect a contravention of the Corporations Act and believed that the contravention would not

dealt with by commenting on it in the auditor's report or bringing it to the attention of the directors.

CLERP 9 requires an auditor to also notify ASIC in writing if there has been an attempt to unduly influenc manipulate or mislead a person involved in the conduct of the audit. This significantly expands auditor dt ASIC of suspected contraventions of the Corporations Act.

Future Developments

The government's requirement to enact this legislation prior to 30 June 2004 meant that some of the rec the Joint Parliamentary Committee into the bill could not be incorporated. The most significant of these in

- alternative accounting treatments disclosure in audit reports of the reasons for choosing more fa accounting treatments where alternative treatments are available
- voting on "multi-chairs" non-binding resolution of shareholders at the AGM where the chair of the position of chair at another Top 300 listed company
- political donations disclosure in annual reports of listed companies of the board's policy on makin donations

The government has signalled that the majority of remaining recommendations of the Committee will be next parliamentary session.

Further information:

CLERP 9 represents a significant development in the corporate law framework. This summary only provic its complexities, some of which will require careful planning by companies and their advisers.

Companies need to consider matters such as the increased financial statement disclosure requirements, processes to enable the CEO and CFO declarations and their preparedness for new voting requirements ϵ

For a more detailed analysis of the implications of CLERP 9 and advice on its implications for your compa contact your client service representative at Deloitte or:

Rod Smith
Managing Partner, Assurance and Advisory
ph: (02) 9322 5608
rodsmith@deloitte.com.au

John Gadsby Partner, Growth Solutions Ph: (02) 9322 7608 jgadsby@deloitte.com.au

This document is intended to provide general information on a particular subject or subjects and is not a treatment of such subject(s). Accordingly, the information in this document is not intended to constitute legal, investment, consulting or other professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking might affect your personal finances or business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

Your use of this document and information is at your own risk. You assume full responsibility and risk of the use of this document or information. None of Deloitte, or any of its national practices or affiliates, or principals, stockholders, or employees of any, thereof will be liable for any special, indirect, incidental, co punitive damages or any other damages whatsoever, whether in an action of contract, statute, tort (including limitation, negligence), or otherwise, relating to the use of this document or information.

Contact us for more information about this topic.

Page Last Updated: 19 July 2004

Source: Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu - Australia (English)

Print this page Email to a Colleague

■ Login ■ Register

Security | Legal | Privacy

Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Copyright ©2005 by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu. All rights reserved. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is a Swiss Verein (association), and, as such, neither Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu nor any of its member firms has any liability for each other's acts or omissions. Each of the member firms is a separate and independent legal entity operating under the names "Deloitte," "Deloitte & Touche," "Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu," or other related names. The services described herein are provided by the member firms and not by the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Verein. For regulatory and other reasons, certain member firms do not provide services in all four professional areas listed above.