

### Point of view

# Manufacturing implications of the revised leasing exposure draft

#### In a nutshell

- A revised exposure draft on lease accounting has been published by the IASB with a comment deadline of 13 September 2013.
- Maintenance services provided with a leased asset to be treated as part of the lease unless they have an observable price in their own right.
- Leases that could extend beyond 12 months will come on-balance sheet for lessees, potentially impacting key performance indicators, gearing and lending covenants.
- For lessees most property leases will have an overall straight-line expense profile, whereas for many other leases it will be front-loaded.

#### What's happened?

The IASB has published a revised exposure draft (the 'ED') on lease accounting with comments requested by 13 September 2013. Whilst a number of problems identified by respondents to the exposure draft published in 2010 (such as the definition of lease term and the treatment of variable lease payments) have been addressed, in some instances they have been replaced by new issues that will require careful consideration and complexities undoubtedly remain.

#### Implications for the manufacturing industry

This publication highlights three issues from the latest proposals that will be of particular interest to those in the manufacturing industry. Of course many more complexities exist and, as described below, Deloitte has produced further guidance, exploring these in greater detail.

#### Definition of a lease

A lease will exist where a contract conveys a right to control an identifiable asset. This is designed to capture specific assets, such as those with asset ID numbers, rather than assets of a particular specification, such as a particular model. Contracts with non-lease components, such as the hire of a vehicle or machinery with a maintenance service, would see payments allocated based on the relative observable purchase price of the individual components. If lessees are unable to obtain observable purchase prices they would account for all the payments as a lease, rather than a lease and a separate service.

#### Leases coming on balance sheet

Lessees would recognise an asset and corresponding liability on their balance sheets for their fixed future lease payments, similar to existing finance lease accounting. Aside from a few specific scope exclusions, the only other leases that could stay off-balance sheet would be those that have a maximum possible term of 12 months, including a presumption that extension options will be exercised. Classifying leases may prove time consuming and will inevitably require judgements to be made. Lessees will need to perform a portfolio-wide assessment of existing classification. The initial and subsequent measurement of lease liabilities will present a significant data challenge.

#### Lessees with straight-lined expense for properties but front-loaded for many other assets

Unless a property is leased for the "major" part of its remaining economic life or the lease payments are "substantially all" of its fair value (such cases are expected to be rare) the subsequent measurement of the asset and liability would yield a straight-line single lease expense over the lease period. The same tests would be applied for assets other than property, such as vehicles and office equipment, but a lower threshold of "insignificant" would need to be met and the asset's total economic life considered. Otherwise, the expense profile would be front-loaded because the lease asset would be amortised on a straight-line basis, whilst the lease liability would be amortised using the effective interest rate method.

**Combined equipment and maintenance contracts are common**

**The biggest leases for many will be properties and machinery**

**Leases other than property would often include vehicles and equipment**

## Areas impacted by the proposals

Banking covenants	Initial recognition of an asset and liability may not have an impact on a lessee's net assets, but it could affect key balance sheet ratios, particularly if the liability is treated as a financing item. For leases with front-loaded expense profiles, any interest beyond that on existing finance leases could impact covenants based on interest cover. Renegotiations could be required.
Key performance indicators	Key metrics of a business' performance could be impacted by the proposals. Depending on how they are calculated, measures such as return on capital may be affected or need redefining. For those operating lease expenses that would be replaced with a front-loaded interest and amortisation charge, adjusted measures of lessees' earnings which strip out the effect of interest, such as EBIT, may increase and those that strip out amortisation and depreciation as well, such as EBITDA, could increase by a greater amount.
Reporting systems	Systems would need to capture all information required by any new standard – the ED proposes extra disclosures beyond those currently required. Closer relationships between finance teams and the operations teams entering into leases may be advisable to avoid undesired accounting consequences.
Remuneration schemes	Performance related pay schemes could be impacted due to changes in key performance indicators. Again, renegotiating or redefining such schemes may be advisable.
Earnouts	Where acquisitions have included contingent consideration that is dependent on the acquiree's future performance the effects of any new lease accounting would need to be assessed carefully.
Tax	The potential impact of the proposed lease accounting changes on cash tax and deferred tax would need to be reviewed by reference to tax legislation in each relevant jurisdiction.

## Resources

More detailed information on the revised ED can be found in Deloitte's IFRS in Focus publication available from [www.iasplus.com](http://www.iasplus.com). You can also register on IAS Plus to receive newsletters that provide updates whenever the leasing project is discussed at IASB meetings. The revised exposure draft itself can be accessed on the IASB website at [www.iasb.org](http://www.iasb.org).

## Contacts

**Ed Hanson**  
[ehanson@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:ehanson@deloitte.co.uk)

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see [www.deloitte.co.uk/about](http://www.deloitte.co.uk/about) for a detailed description of the legal structure of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom member firm of DTTL.

This publication has been written in general terms and therefore cannot be relied on to cover specific situations; application of the principles set out will depend upon the particular circumstances involved and we recommend that you obtain professional advice before acting or refraining from acting on any of the contents of this publication. Deloitte LLP would be pleased to advise readers on how to apply the principles set out in this publication to their specific circumstances. Deloitte LLP accepts no duty of care or liability for any loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication.

© 2013 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved.

Deloitte LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675 and its registered office at 2 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BZ, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0) 20 7936 3000 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7583 1198.

Designed and produced by The Creative Studio at Deloitte, London. 26982A