Deloitte.

Heads Up

In This Issue

- Introduction
- Background and Key Provisions of the Proposed ASU
- Proposed
 Amendments to the Conceptual
 Framework
- Effective Date and Transition

Redefining Moment

FASB's Proposed ASU States That Omissions of Immaterial Disclosures Are Not Accounting Errors

by Robert Uhl and Ermir Berberi, Deloitte & Touche LLP

Introduction

On September 24, 2015, the FASB issued a proposed ASU¹ that would amend the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the "Codification") to indicate that the omission of disclosures about immaterial information is not an accounting error. The proposal, which is part of the FASB's disclosure effectiveness initiative, notes that materiality is a legal concept that shall be applied to assess quantitative and qualitative disclosures individually and in the aggregate in the context of the financial statements taken as a whole.

To maintain consistency with the proposed ASU (and current practice), the FASB is also proposing to modify the current definition of materiality in Concepts Statement 8.² The proposed amendment would replace the original discussion of materiality in Concepts Statement 8 with the U.S. Supreme Court's definition.

Comments on the proposed ASU are due by December 8, 2015.

Background and Key Provisions of the Proposed ASU

Currently, failure to provide required disclosures on the basis of materiality concerns is considered an accounting error. While such an error may not result in a misstatement of the financial statements as a whole, some believed that unless the error was clearly trivial, it would trigger additional actions, such as discussion with an entity's audit committee. Further, some believed that because such an omission was considered an error, an entity would incur additional risks in not providing immaterial disclosures. In addition to lengthening the financial report, reporting immaterial disclosures have the potential of obscuring more important information. To reduce the reluctance to omit immaterial disclosures, the proposed ASU would clarify that omitting such disclosures is not an accounting error.

Editor's Note: The proposed clarification would only apply to disclosures in the notes. Omissions of immaterial information related to other GAAP requirements, such as recognition and measurement, would still be considered accounting errors.

¹ FASB Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Assessing Whether Disclosures Are Material.

² FASB Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting.

The proposal further notes that quantitative and qualitative disclosures are assessed for materiality individually and in the aggregate. Thus, when disclosure requirements are assessed, some, all, or none of the requirements in a Codification topic may be material. In addition, the proposed ASU's acknowledgment that materiality is a legal concept eliminates any potential conflict between accounting standards and law.

Constituents have also noted that wording of the disclosure requirements in the Codification may be preventing some from omitting immaterial disclosures. For example, some find phrases such as "an entity shall at a minimum provide" difficult to overcome. While the proposal did not offer explicit modifications, the FASB noted that the final standard would contain amendments to replace such prescriptive language.

Proposed Amendments to the Conceptual Framework

Under the current definition of materiality in chapter 3 of FASB Concepts Statement 8, "[i]nformation is material if omitting it or misstating it **could** influence decisions that users make on the basis of the financial information of a specific reporting entity" (emphasis added). The proposed ASU would amend this definition to refer to the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of materiality, which states that information is material if "there is a **substantial likelihood** that the omitted or misstated disclosure **would** have been viewed by a reasonable resource provider as having significantly altered the total mix of information available in making a decision" (emphasis added).

While Concepts Statement 8 is not authoritative, the Board decided that the most effective way to avoid the potential uncertainty or confusion associated with inconsistent definitions was to (1) replace the original discussion of materiality in Concepts Statement 8 with a statement that the Supreme Court has provided a legal definition of materiality and (2) include a brief summary of that definition.

Effective Date and Transition

The amendments in the proposed ASU would be effective upon issuance. Reporting entities may choose to apply this guidance in only the most recent year reported (prospective) or in all years presented (retrospective).

Subscriptions

If you wish to subscribe to *Heads Up* and other Deloitte accounting publications, please register at www.deloitte.com/us/subscriptions.

Dbriefs for Financial Executives

We invite you to participate in *Dbriefs*, Deloitte's webcast series that delivers practical strategies you need to stay on top of important issues. Gain access to valuable ideas and critical information from webcasts in the "Financial Executives" series on the following topics:

- Business strategy and tax.
- Financial reporting for taxes.
- Transactions and business events.

- Driving enterprise value.
- Governance, risk, and compliance.

Financial reporting.

Technology.

Dbriefs also provides a convenient and flexible way to earn CPE credit — right at your desk. Subscribe to *Dbriefs* to receive notifications about future webcasts at www.deloitte.com/us/dbriefs.

Technical Library and US GAAP Plus

Deloitte makes available, on a subscription basis, access to its online library of accounting and financial disclosure literature. Called Technical Library: The Deloitte Accounting Research Tool, the library includes material from the FASB, EITF, AICPA, PCAOB, IASB, and SEC, in addition to Deloitte's own accounting and SEC manuals and other interpretive accounting and SEC guidance.

Updated every business day, Technical Library has an intuitive design and navigation system that, together with its powerful search features, enable users to quickly locate information anytime, from any computer. Technical Library subscribers also receive Technically Speaking, the weekly publication that highlights recent additions to the library. For more information, including subscription details and an online demonstration, visit www.deloitte.com/us/techlibrary.

In addition, be sure to visit US GAAP Plus, our free Web site that features accounting news, information, and publications with a U.S. GAAP focus. It contains articles on FASB activities and updates to the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification™* as well as developments of other U.S. and international standard setters and regulators, such as the PCAOB, AICPA, SEC, IASB, and IFRS Interpretations Committee. Check it out today!

Heads Up is prepared by members of Deloitte's National Office as developments warrant. This publication contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor.

Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

As used in this document, "Deloitte" means Deloitte & Touche LLP, a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte LLP and its subsidiaries. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting.