

Heads Up

Audit and Enterprise Risk Services

February 15, 2005

Vol. 12, Issue 1

In This Issue:

- Introduction
- Amortization of Leasehold Improvements
- Rent Holidays
- Landlord/Tenant Incentives
- Consequences
- Disclosures

As developments warrant, *Heads Up* is prepared by the National Office Accounting Standards and Communications Group of Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte & Touche"). For further information, contact your local Deloitte & Touche office.

This publication contains general information only and Deloitte & Touche is not, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte & Touche, its affiliates and related entities shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

It's Official, 13 Is Unlucky!

SEC Clarification of Statement 13 Lease Accounting Issues Leads to Restatements

Introduction

Last week, the SEC staff clarified certain issues related to lessee accounting for operating leases. Several companies recently have corrected errors in previously issued financial statements. While the restatements, so far, have been made primarily by retail food franchisers, **the accounting issues are relevant to any company, regardless of industry, that leases a significant amount of real estate (and perhaps, equipment)**. The SEC's guidance, which takes the form of a [letter to the Center for Public Company Audit Firms](#), can be found on the SEC's Web site.

Amortization of Leasehold Improvements

Some companies have run into trouble accounting for leasehold improvements. This occurs when the costs of the improvements are amortized over a term that includes an expected renewal period of the lease. But here's the rub. These companies have excluded renewal periods when:

- Performing the requisite lease classification tests (i.e., operating vs. capital), and
- Computing the amount of periodic rental cost to expense.

In the letter, the SEC staff indicates that amortizing leasehold improvements over a term that includes assumption of lease renewals is appropriate only when the renewals have been determined to be *reasonably assured*. FASB Statement No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, indicates that a renewal period is reasonably assured, and should be considered part of the lease term, when failure to renew a lease imposes a **penalty** on the lessee.

Question

What constitutes a penalty?

Answer

According to Statement 13, one might exist when a lessee likely is unwilling to abandon leasehold improvements (or other assets) that are expected to have significant fair value to the lessee at the end of the fixed, noncancelable term of the lease. Similarly, lessees likely are unwilling to incur significant costs, directly or indirectly, in order to relocate leasehold improvements. The loss of significant fair value or the incurrence of significant costs could constitute an economic penalty.

If lease renewal is reasonably assured, then the lease term used to (1) determine the appropriate lease classification, (2) compute periodic rental expense, and (3) amortize leasehold improvements (unless their economic lives are shorter), should include the periods of expected renewal. If lease renewal is not reasonably assured, then amortization of the leasehold improvements should be over the shorter of the asset's economic life or lease term (which excludes renewal periods). If the lessee determines that equipment or other assets on leased property can be relocated or sold upon lease expiration, without a significant diminution in fair value, it may be appropriate to amortize the leasehold asset over the lease term to expected fair value. All facts and circumstances should be considered, and companies should establish an accounting policy that is applied consistently across similar transactions.

Question

What is the potential impact on companies restated financial statements?

Answer

The impact could be any of the following:

- Increased depreciation expense, resulting from shortening the depreciable life of leasehold improvements to be consistent with the lease term used for lease classification and recognition of rental expense;
- Increased rental expense (and a related accrued rent liability), resulting from the recognition of rent expense on a straight-line basis over the entire lease term, including option periods that are reasonably assured of renewal, when the renewal periods contain escalated rental payments;
- Change in lease classification (from operating to capital); and
- Errors in the determination of initial recoverability and calculation of recognized impairment losses under FASB Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

Rent Holidays

A lease may contain a period of time during which the lessee has the right to occupy the space but pays no rent or a reduced rate of rent. For example, a "rent holiday" can be offered to provide the lessee time to build-out the space to its specifications. Although a company may take a holiday from making payments during this period, expense recognition never takes a day off. The SEC staff, pointing to Technical Bulletin 85-3,¹ concluded that it is inappropriate for a lessee to suspend recognition of rental expense during a rent holiday. Rather, rent expense in an operating lease should be recognized straight-line (or, unusually, on another systematic and rational basis) over the lease term, **including any rent holiday period**.

Example

Company A is leasing a building for a five-year period under an operating lease. The landlord provides a "rental holiday" for the first year so Company A can construct tenant improvements within the building. The second-year lease payment is \$100,000, and the rental rate increases \$100,000 per year for each subsequent year for a total required rent of \$1,000,000 over the lease term. In this situation, Company A should record rent expense of \$200,000 per year (total payments of \$1,000,000 recognized straight-line over five years) starting with commencement of the lease term. In years one and two, Company A should record the difference between the rent expense (\$200,000 each year) and the payment each year (\$0 and \$100,000 in years one and two, respectively) as accrued rental liability. In year three, the rent expense and the payment will be equal. In years four and five, the rent payments in excess of the rent expense each year should reduce the accrued liability.

¹ FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-3, *Accounting for Operating Leases With Scheduled Rent Increases*.

Landlord/Tenant Incentives

Sometimes a landlord pays the lessee an amount intended to reimburse the lessee for the cost, or a portion of the cost, of leasehold improvements. Some lessees have netted the funds received from the lessor against the amounts recorded as leasehold improvements. Lessees also have reflected the receipt of the funds as a reduction of capital expenditure outflows (an investing activity) on their statement of cash flows. The SEC staff has the following views:

- Improvements made by a lessee that are funded by the lessor generally should be recorded by the lessee as leasehold improvement assets, and amortized over the shorter of the economic useful life or the lease term;
- The funds received should be recorded as deferred rent and amortized as reductions to lease expense over the lease term (they should not be netted against the leasehold improvements);² and
- The incentive payment should be recorded as an operating activity in the statement of cash flows. The acquisition of leasehold improvements for cash is an investing activity.

The SEC staff also recognizes that the issue of determining whether improvements are assets of the lessee or the lessor may require significant judgment; the letter does not deal with this evaluation.

Consequences

The SEC staff indicates that their views “are based upon existing accounting literature.” Registrants/lessees that have made one or more of these errors, in consultation with their independent auditors, should follow one of two courses of action, as appropriate:

- Restate prior financial statements, and state that it results from the correction of errors; or
- If restatement is unnecessary, state that errors were immaterial to prior periods.

Disclosures

The SEC staff’s letter highlights the importance of operating and capital lease disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and, when appropriate, in the critical accounting policies section of Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). A company’s disclosures should address the following:

- Material lease agreements or arrangements;
- The essential provisions of material leases (e.g., original term, renewal periods, rent escalations, rent holidays, contingent rent, rent concessions, leasehold improvement incentives, and unusual provisions);
- The company’s accounting policy for leases, including the treatment of each item noted above;
- The specific basis on which contingent rental payments are determined;
- The amortization period of material leasehold improvements made, either at the inception of the lease or during the lease term, and how the amortization period relates to the initial lease term; and
- In MD&A, known trends and uncertainties in future rent or amortization expense that could materially impact operating results or cash flows.

² See Question 2 of FASB Technical Bulletin No. 88-1, *Issues Relating to Accounting for Leases*.

Subscriptions

If you wish to receive *Heads Up* and other accounting publications issued by the Accounting Standards and Communications Group of Deloitte & Touche, please [register](http://www.deloitte.com/us) at www.deloitte.com/us.

Dbriefs for Financial Executives

We invite you to participate in *Dbriefs*, Deloitte & Touche's new webcast series that delivers practical strategies you need to stay on top of important issues. Gain access to valuable ideas and critical information from webcasts presented each month on:

- Sarbanes-Oxley
- Corporate Governance
- Financial Reporting
- Driving Enterprise Value

Dbriefs also provides a convenient and flexible way to earn CPE credit — right at your desk.

Upcoming *Dbriefs* webcasts are “Quarterly Accounting Roundup: An Update of Important Developments” on March 15 at 2:00 PM EST, and “EITF Roundup: Highlights of the March Meeting” on March 22 at 2:00 PM EST. [Registration](#) for these webcasts is now available. [Join *Dbriefs*](#) to receive notifications about future webcasts.

Deloitte Accounting Research Tool Available

Deloitte is making available, on a subscription basis, access to its online library of accounting and financial disclosure literature. Called the Deloitte Accounting Research Tool (DART), the library includes material from the FASB, the EITF, the AICPA, the PCAOB, the IASB, and the SEC, in addition to Deloitte's own accounting manual and other interpretative accounting guidance.

Updated every business day, DART has an intuitive design and navigation system which, together with its powerful search features, enable users to quickly locate information anytime, from any computer. Additionally, DART subscribers receive periodic emails highlighting recent additions to the DART library.

For more information, including subscription details and an online DART demonstration, visit www.deloitte.com/us/dart.

About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, a Swiss Verein, its member firms, and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is an organization of member firms around the world devoted to excellence in providing professional services and advice, focused on client service through a global strategy executed locally in nearly 150 countries. With access to the deep intellectual capital of 120,000 people worldwide, Deloitte delivers services in four professional areas — audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services — and serves more than one-half of the world's largest companies, as well as large national enterprises, public institutions, locally important clients, and successful, fast-growing global growth companies. Services are not provided by the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Verein, and, for regulatory and other reasons, certain member firms do not provide services in all four professional areas.

As a Swiss Verein (association), neither Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu nor any of its member firms has any liability for each other's acts or omissions. Each of the member firms is a separate and independent legal entity operating under the names “Deloitte,” “Deloitte & Touche,” “Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu,” or other related names.

In the U.S., Deloitte & Touche USA LLP is the U.S. member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and services are provided by the subsidiaries of Deloitte & Touche USA LLP (Deloitte & Touche LLP, Deloitte Consulting LLP, Deloitte Tax LLP, and their subsidiaries) and not by Deloitte & Touche USA LLP. The subsidiaries of the U.S. member firm are among the nation's leading professional services firms, providing audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services through nearly 30,000 people in more than 80 cities. Known as employers of choice for innovative human resources programs, they are dedicated to helping their clients and their people excel. For more information, please visit the U.S. member firm's website at www.deloitte.com/us.